Search for the SM Higgs boson at CMS

Mingshui Chen

University of Florida

On behalf of the CMS Collaboration



CMS Total Integrated Luminosity, p-p



Introductory remarks

- Open window [115-130] for SM Higgs boson before July 4th, 2012
 - Focus of today's talk
- High mass: gain higher confidence (more in backup)
- Statistical methodology: same as before since last year

ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, LHC Higgs Combination Group, "Procedure for the LHC Higgs boson search combination in Summer 2011"

Production and decays at low m_H



	untagged		VBF-tag		VH-tag		ttH-tag	
Н→үү	7 TeV	8 TeV	7 TeV	8 TeV				
H→bb					7 TeV	8 TeV	7 TeV	
Η→ττ	7 TeV	8 TeV	7 TeV	8 TeV	7 TeV			
H→WW	7 TeV	8 TeV	7 TeV	8 TeV	7 TeV			
H→ZZ	7 TeV	8 TeV						

Higgs Hunting, July 20, 2012

Mingshui Chen (University of Florida)

CMS Detector



- huge efforts put on improving objects selection and optimizing analyses
- e.g. 7 TeV search sensitivity improved from 3σ to 4σ around m_H = 125

Higgs Hunting, July 20, 2012

Relative sensitivities at low m_{H}



- all 5 decay models give fair sensitivities
- at m_H~ 125 GeV, the highest sensitivity is achieved in the ZZ, γγ and WW channels, followed by ττ and bb

talk by Y. Chang

Summary of channels: $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$



- fit mass distribution based on MVA output
- 5 categories in 7 TeV and 6 categories in 8 TeV
- 4.1σ (expected 2.8σ) excess at 125 GeV



- 4I mass and MELA 2D final analysis
- 3.2σ (expected 3.8σ) excess at 125.5 GeV

Higgs Hunting, July 20, 2012

Mingshui Chen (University of Florida)

talk by D. Evans

Summary of channels: $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow 2I2v$



- 7 TeV: MVA shape for 0,1-jet and cut based VBF analysis
- 8 TeV: cut based analysis
- ~1.5 σ broad excess seen at low mass region

talks by P. Bortignon and M. Gruttola

Summary of channels: $H \rightarrow bb$



- MVA shape based analyses
- ttH(bb): first LHC study of this production mode
- observation compatible with background-only hypothesis

Higgs Hunting, July 20, 2012

Mingshui Chen (University of Florida)

talk by M. Gruttola

Summary of channels: $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$



• observation compatible with background-only hypothesis (-1 σ deficit at m_H=125)

Higgs Hunting, July 20, 2012

Mingshui Chen (University of Florida)

Combined results

SM Higgs exclusion



Expected in absence of SM Higgs boson:

110 – 600 GeV at 95% CL

SM Higgs exclusion



Excluded σ/σ_{SM} at 95% CL



Observed:

[110 – 122.5] ..?.. [127 – 600] GeV at 95% CL (same as on the previous slide)

Characterization of the excess





- adding high sensitivity but low mass resolution channel WW
- comb. significance: 5.1σ (expected 5.2σ) at 125 GeV



full combination: 4.9σ (expected 5.9σ) at 125 GeV





 consistent pattern of observations in different channels in 7 TeV and 8 TeV datasets

Measuring mass (model-dependent)



- 2D likelihood scans for
 - untagged γγ
 - VBF-tagged γγ
 - ZZ→4I
- results are consistent and thus can be combined
- combination, in assumption of relative yields tied by SM Higgs

Measuring mass (model-independent)



- 1D likelihood scans for
 - untagged γγ
 - VBF-tagged γγ
 - ZZ→4I
- combination,
 - in no assumptions on relative yields, gives m = 125.3 ± 0.6 GeV

Observed excess's mass = 125.3 ± 0.4 (stat) ± 0.5 (sys) GeV



Is it the/a Higgs ?



• overall signal strength modifier at m_H =125 $\mu = \sigma/\sigma_{SM} = 0.80\pm0.22$



25



all channels are fairly
consistent with the
SM Higgs, albeit with
very limited sensitivity



- all channels are fairly consistent with the SM Higgs, albeit with very limited sensitivity
- 7 TeV and 8 TeV dataset results within a channel are also self-consistent



- 7+8 TeV combined by decay mode
- OK



 7+8 TeV combined by production mechanism

OK too

Compatibility: $(H \rightarrow WW)/(H \rightarrow ZZ)$

- this ratio is mostly driven by the ratio of Higgs couplings to WW and ⊆
 ZZ, which is protected by the custodial symmetry
- combination of untagged WW and ZZ and fitting for the difference wrt to the expectation:

$$R_{WW/ZZ} = 0.9^{+1.1}_{-0.6}$$

 compatible with SM prediction within uncertainty



Couplings: (C_V, C_F)

- C_V(C_F) scales the SM Higgs boson couplings to vector bosons (fermions)
- LO approximation
- Γγγ is induced via loop diagrams, scales as |α C_v + β C_F|²
 - α and β are taken from theory
- most sensitive analyses:
 - WW, ZZ, inclusive $\gamma\gamma$ sensitive to C_{γ}
 - VBF $\gamma\gamma$ sensitive to both C_V and C_F

		1	
Production	Decay	LO SM	
VH	$H \rightarrow bb$	$\sim rac{C_V^2 imes C_F^2}{C_F^2}$	$\sim C_V^2$
${ m tt}{ m H}$	$H \to bb$	$\sim \frac{C_F^2 \times C_F^2}{C_F^2}$	$\sim C_F^2$
VBF/VH	$H \to \tau \tau$	$\sim \frac{C_V^2 \times C_F^2}{C_F^2}$	$\sim C_V^2$
ggH	$H \to \tau \tau$	$\sim \frac{C_F^2 \times C_F^2}{C_F^2}$	$\sim C_F^2$
$\rm ggH$	$H \rightarrow ZZ$	$\sim \frac{C_F^2 \times C_V^2}{C_F^2}$	$\sim C_V^2$
$\rm ggH$	$H \rightarrow WW$	$\sim rac{C_F^2 imes C_V^2}{C_F^2}$	$\sim C_V^2$
VBF/VH	$H \to WW$	$\sim rac{C_V^2 \times C_V^2}{C_F^2}$	$\sim C_V^4/C_F^2$
ggH	$H \to \gamma \gamma$	$\sim \frac{C_F^2 \times (8.6C_V - 1.8C_F)^2}{C_F^2}$	$\sim C_V^2$
VBF	$H\to\gamma\gamma$	$\sim \frac{C_V^2 \times (8.6C_V - 1.8C_F)^2}{C_F^2}$	$\sim C_V^4/C_{\!F}^2$

Couplings: (C_V, C_F)

- C_V(C_F) scales the SM Higgs boson couplings to vector bosons (fermions)
- LO approximation
- Γγγ is induced via loop diagrams, scales as |α C_V + β C_F|²
 - α and β are taken from theory
- most sensitive analyses:
 - WW, ZZ, inclusive γγ sensitive to C_v
 - VBF $\gamma\gamma$ sensitive to both C_V and C_F
- in agreement with the SM within the 95% confidence range



Summary

- Excluded range at 95% CL: [110-122.5] and [127-600] GeV
- Observed a new boson with 4.9σ near 125 GeV
 - global significance > 4σ
- Two dominant contributors (high resolution, high sensitivity):
 - $X \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ with **4.1** σ
 - X→4l with **3.2**σ
 - both excesses occur at about same mass
 - measured mass = 125.3 ± 0.4 (stat) ± 0.5 (sys) GeV
- The results obtained in all search channels are consistent with the expectations for a SM Higgs boson within the uncertainties
- More data are needed to establish firmly the nature of the new boson

Stay tuned !

Thanks !

References

- Webpage of CMS Public Higgs Results
- July 4th seminar
- ICHEP talks
- Combination paper in preparation

Search channels

Analyses		No. of	$m_{\rm H}$ range $m_{\rm H}$		Lumi (fb ⁻¹)		Ref	
H decay	H prod	Exclusive final states	channels	(GeV)	resolution	7 TeV	8 TeV	
$\gamma\gamma$	untagged	$\gamma\gamma$ (4 diphoton classes)	4	110-150	1-2%	5.1	5.3	[73]
	VBF-tag	$\gamma \gamma + (jj)_{VBF}$ (low or high m_{jj} for 8 TeV)	1 or 2	110-150	1-2%	5.1	5.3	[73]
bb	VH-tag	($\nu\nu$, ee , $\mu\mu$, $e\nu$, $\mu\nu$ with 2 b-jets) \otimes (low or high p_T^{ν})	10	110-135	10%	5.0	5.1	[74]
	ttH-tag	$(\ell \text{ with } 4,5,\geq 6 \text{ jets}) \otimes (3,\geq 4 b\text{-tags});$ $(\ell \text{ with } 6 \text{ jets with } 2 b\text{-tags}); (\ell\ell \text{ with } 2 \text{ or } \geq 3 b\text{-tagged jets})$	9	110-140		5.0	-	[75]
	0/1-jets	$(e\tau_h, \mu\tau_h, e\mu, \mu\mu) \times$ (low or high $p_T^{\tau\tau}$) × (0 or 1 jets)	16	110-145	20%	4.9	5.1	[76]
$H \to \tau \tau$	VBF-tag	$(e\tau_h, \mu\tau_h, e\mu, \mu\mu) + (jj)_{VBF}$	4	110-145	20%	4.9	5.1	[76]
	ZH-tag	$(ee, \mu\mu) \times (\tau_h \tau_h, e\tau_h, \mu\tau_h, e\mu)$	8	110-160		5.0	-	[77]
	WH-tag	$\tau_h ee, \tau_h \mu \mu, \tau_h e \mu$	3	110-140		4.9	-	[78]
$WW \rightarrow \ell \nu q q$	untagged	$(e\nu, \mu\nu) \otimes ((jj)_W \text{ with } 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ jets})$	4	170-600		5.0	5.1	[79, 80]
WW $\rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \nu$	0/1-jets	(DF or SF dileptons) \otimes (0 or 1 jets)	4	110-600	20%	4.9	5.1	[81, 82]
WW $\rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \nu$	VBF-tag	$\ell \nu \ell \nu + (jj)_{VBF}$ (DF or SF dileptons for 8 TeV)	1 or 2	110-600	20%	4.9	5.1	[81, 82]
WW $\rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \nu$	WH-tag	$3\ell 3\nu$	1	110-200		4.9	-	[83]
WW $\rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \nu$	VH-tag	$\ell \nu \ell \nu + (jj)_V$ (DF or SF dileptons)	2	118-190		4.9	-	[84]
$ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$	inclusive	4e, 4µ, 2e2µ	3	110-600	1-2%	5.0	5.3	[85]
$ZZ\to 2\ell 2\tau$	inclusive	$(ee, \mu\mu) \times (\tau_h \tau_h, e\tau_h, \mu\tau_h, e\mu)$	8	200-600	10-15%	5.0	5.3	[85]
$ZZ \to 2\ell 2q$	inclusive	(ee, $\mu\mu$)×((jj) _Z with 0, 1, 2 b-tags)	6	{ 130-164 200-600	3%	4.9	-	[86]
$ZZ \rightarrow 2\ell 2\nu$	untagged	$((ee, \mu\mu) \text{ with MET}) \otimes (0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ or } 2 \text{ non-VBF jets})$	6	200-600	7%	4.9	5.1	[87]
$ZZ \rightarrow 2\ell 2\nu$	VBF-tag	$(ee, \mu\mu)$ with MET and $(jj)_{VBF}$	2	200-600	7%	4.9	5.1	[87]

Sub-combinations



High mass searches : ZZ



High mass searches : WW



Quantifying significance of excess

To quantify the presence of an excess of events over what is expected for the background, we use the test statistic where the likelihood appearing in the numerator is for the background-only hypothesis:

$$q_0 = -2 \ln \frac{\mathcal{L}(\operatorname{obs} | b, \hat{\theta}_0)}{\mathcal{L}(\operatorname{obs} | \hat{\mu} \cdot s + b, \hat{\theta})},$$
(3)

The statistical significance Z of a signal-like excess is computed from the probability p_0

$$p_0 = P(q_0 \ge q_0^{obs} \mid b),$$
 (4)

henceforth referred to as the *p*-value, using the one-sided Gaussian tail convention.

$$p_0 = \int_Z^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-x^2/2) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Higgs Hunting, July 20, 2012

Look elsewhere effects

In the Higgs boson search, we scan over Higgs boson mass hypotheses and look for the one giving the minimum local *p*-value p_{local}^{\min} , which describes the probability of a background fluctuation for that particular Higgs boson mass hypothesis. The probability to find a fluctuation with a local *p*-value lower or equal to the observed p_{local}^{\min} anywhere in the explored mass range is referred to as the global *p*-value, p_{global} :

$$p_{\text{global}} = P(p_0 \le p_{\text{local}}^{\min} | \mathbf{b}), \tag{6}$$

The fact that the global *p*-value can be significantly larger than p_{local}^{min} is often referred to as the look-elsewhere effect (LEE). The global significance (and global *p*-value) of the observed excess can be evaluated in this case by generating pseudo-datasets, which, however, becomes too CPU-intensive and not practical for very small *p*-values. Therefore, we use the method suggested in Ref. [94]. The relationship between global and local *p*-values is given by:

$$p_{\text{global}} = p_{\text{local}}^{\min} + C \cdot e^{-Z_{\text{local}}^2/2}$$
(7)

The constant *C* is found by generating a relatively small set of pseudo-data and then is used to evaluate the global *p*-value corresponding to p_{local}^{min} observed in the experiment.

For a very wide mass range, the constant *C* can be evaluated directly from data [89] by counting upcrossings N_{up} of $\hat{\mu}(m_H)$ with the line $\mu = 0$ and setting $C = N_{up}$.