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## Summary

## Subject of our study

- Radially excited $D_{(s)}$ meson
- First attempt to determine mass and coupling:
- on the lattice
- in the continuum limit
- Caution: results still preliminary!
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## Phenomenological motivation

## BaBar studies of $D^{*} \pi$ production invariant mass distribution

Two peaks reported (arXiv:1009.2076):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
m_{1}=2539 \pm 8 \mathrm{MeV}, & \Gamma_{1}=130 \pm 18 \mathrm{MeV} \\
m_{2}=2752 \pm 3 \mathrm{MeV}, & \Gamma_{2}=71 \pm 13 \mathrm{MeV}
\end{array}
$$

$m_{1}$ believed to be the $2 S$ radially excited $D^{\prime}$
Analysis of $B^{-} \rightarrow D_{s}^{(*)+} K^{-} \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ and of $B^{-} \rightarrow D_{s}^{(*)+} K^{-} \pi^{-}$

- BaBar (2010), Belle (2012): $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{-} \rightarrow D_{s}^{(*)+} K^{-} \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}\right) \sim 0.06 \%$
- Belle: peak in invariant mass distribution of $D_{s}^{(*)+} K^{-}$:
- around 2.6 GeV when associated to leptons
- around $2.8-3.0 \mathrm{GeV}$ when associated to $\pi$


## Identification of radial excitation

- How to interpret the peaks: which one is the radial excitation?
- What is its coupling?


## Phenomenological motivation \& Theoretical predictions

Relevance of the $D^{\prime 0}$ coupling determination
$A_{\text {fact. }}\left(B^{-} \rightarrow \bar{D}^{\prime 0} \pi^{-}\right)=\frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} V_{c b} V_{u d}^{*}\left[a_{1} f_{\pi}\left(m_{B}^{2}-m_{D^{\prime}}^{2}\right) F_{0}^{B \rightarrow D^{\prime}}\left(m_{\pi}^{2}\right)+a_{2} f_{D^{\prime}}\left(m_{B}^{2}-m_{\pi}^{2}\right) F_{0}^{B \rightarrow \pi}\left(m_{D^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\right]$
If the ratio of class- 1 and class- 3 decays was measured:
$\rightarrow f_{D^{\prime}}$ would be needed for theoretical prediction

## Theoretical studies of $D^{\prime}$ system

- Mass of $D^{\prime}$ :
- Godfrey, Isgur, 1985: $m_{D^{\prime}}=2.58 \mathrm{GeV}$ with quark model
- Mohler and Woloshyn (arXiv:1103.5506) on the lattice: single lattice spacing, various sea quark masses: $m_{D^{\prime}}=2.6(3) \mathrm{GeV}$
- General statement on $f_{D^{\prime}}$ :
$f_{D^{\prime}}>f_{D}$ (from covariant quark model, lattice QCD in the static limit)

Further studies are needed!

## Motivation: why to use lattice QCD?

## Basically because... Lattice QCD = QCD!

- Non-perturbative computation starting from first principles
- Reach of the method limited only by available computing power

Last years developments (since 2005)

- Unquenching of Up and Down quarks (assuming isospin symmetry)
- Extrapolation toward physical pion mass (not directly accessible normally)
- Extrapolation toward the continuum limit

Nowadays working area and next-to-come developments

- Full unquenching of all the quarks (also beyond isospin symmetry)
- Calculation performed directly at the physical pion mass
- Improved continuum limit thanks to more sophisticated regularizations
- Electromagnetic corrections taken into account


## Our Setup: Simulation Parameters

## Fermionic part: Twisted mass QCD at maximal twist

$$
S^{\text {ferm }}=\bar{\psi}^{\mathrm{a}}\left[(1 / 2 \kappa+K[U]) \delta^{a b}+\mathrm{i} \mu \gamma_{5} 5_{3}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right] \psi^{b}, \quad a, b \in\left\{\psi_{\text {up }}, \psi_{\text {down }}\right\}
$$

Original Wilson formulation, with additional mass term No additive mass renormalization, automatic $\mathcal{O}$ (a) improvement

## Gauge field configurations

- Provided by European Twisted Mass Collaboration 9 countries, $\sim 20$ universities and research centers
- Unquenched Up Down quarks
- Publicly available on International Lattice Data Grid



## Subset used for this work

- Single lattice spacing (4 available)
- Single light quark mass (pion mass $\sim 400 \mathrm{MeV}$ )


## How to compute decay constants

Decay constant computation when $D^{\left({ }^{( }\right)}$at rest

$$
\langle 0|(A+\not \subset)_{0}^{r e n}\left|D^{(\prime)}(p=0)\right\rangle=M_{D} f_{D}, \quad\langle 0| V_{0}^{\text {ren }}\left|D^{(\prime)}\right\rangle=0
$$

With Twisted mass regularization

$$
\langle 0| A_{0}^{\text {ren }}\left|D^{\left({ }^{\prime}\right)}\right\rangle=\frac{m_{l}+m_{c}}{M_{D}}\langle 0| P\left|D^{\left({ }^{\prime}\right)}\right\rangle, \quad A_{0}=\bar{c} \gamma_{0} \gamma_{5} d, P=i \bar{c} \gamma_{5} d
$$

Decay constant is expressed in terms of RGI product

$$
f_{\left.D^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{\left(m_{l}+m_{c}\right)\langle 0| P\left|D^{\left({ }^{\prime}\right)}\right\rangle}{M_{\left.D^{\prime}\right)}^{2}}
$$

We must compute Pseudoscalar matrix element between $|0\rangle$ and $|D\rangle$ :

$$
Z_{\left.D^{\prime}\right)} \equiv\langle 0| P\left|D^{\left({ }^{\prime}\right)}\right\rangle
$$

this can be obtained from 2 points correlation function fit

## Spectral decomposition of two points functions

Basic ingredient: two points correlation functions

$$
\begin{gathered}
O(x) \equiv \bar{c}(x) \gamma_{5} q(x) . \quad q=\{u / d, s, c\} \\
C(\tau)=\sum_{\vec{x}}\left\langle O^{\dagger}(\vec{x}, \tau) O(\overrightarrow{0}, 0)\right\rangle=
\end{gathered}
$$

## Determination of ground state properties

 Inserting the sum of projectors over all the states $\sum_{i}\left|D^{(i)}\right\rangle\left\langle D^{(i)}\right|$ :$$
\left.C(\tau)=\sum_{i}|\langle 0| O| D^{(i)}\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2} \frac{e^{-M_{D^{(i)}} \tau}}{2 M_{D^{(i)}}} \xrightarrow{\tau \rightarrow \infty}|\underbrace{\langle 0| O|D\rangle}_{Z_{D}}|^{2} \frac{e^{-M_{D^{\prime} \tau}}}{2 M_{D}}
$$

Information on excited states extracted by looking at intermediate times:

$$
0 \ll t \ll t_{\text {ground }}
$$

## Two points correlation function computation

## Wick contraction

$C(\tau)=\sum_{\vec{x}}\left\langle O_{\Gamma}^{\dagger}(\vec{x}, \tau) O_{\Gamma}(\overrightarrow{0}, 0)\right\rangle \underset{\text { Wick }}{\overline{=}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left\ulcorner S_{l}(\vec{x}, \tau ; \overrightarrow{0}, 0)\left\ulcorner S_{c}(\overrightarrow{0}, 0 ; \vec{x}, \tau)\right]\right.\right.$
Solving Dirac equation on gauge background $\rightarrow$ full quark propagator

$$
D_{q}(y, x) \cdot S_{q}(x, 0)=\delta_{y, 0} \quad D_{q}=\left(\frac{1}{2 \kappa}+K[U]\right) 1+i m_{q} \gamma_{5} \tau_{3}
$$



Combine 2 propagators with Dirac structures $\rightarrow 2$ points functions


## Two points correlation function (log scale)



## Effective mass: $M_{\text {eff }}(\tau) \equiv \log C(\tau+1)-\log C(\tau)$



## Access to excited states

Coming back to spectral decomposition

$$
C(t) \equiv \sum_{\vec{x}}\left\langle O^{\dagger}(\vec{x}, \tau) O(\overrightarrow{0}, 0)\right\rangle=\left.\sum_{P}|\underbrace{\langle 0| O|P\rangle}_{Z(P)}|\right|^{2^{-M_{p} t}} \frac{2 M_{P}}{2 M^{-}}
$$

## Limiting to two lightest states

$$
C(t)=Z^{2}(D) \frac{e^{-M_{D} t}}{2 M_{D}}+Z^{2}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \frac{e^{-M_{D^{\prime}} t}}{2 M_{D^{\prime}}} \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} Z^{2}(D) \frac{e^{-M_{D} t}}{2 M_{D}}
$$

We determine $Z(D)$ and $M_{D}$ by fitting $C(t)$ at large time
Subtract $D$ state contribution from $C(t)$

$$
C^{\prime}(t) \equiv C(t)-Z^{2} \text { fit }(D) \frac{e^{-M_{D}^{f i t} t}}{2 M_{D}^{\text {fit }}} \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} Z^{2}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \frac{e^{-M_{D^{\prime}} t}}{2 M_{D^{\prime}}}
$$

## $C^{\prime}(t)$ effective mass



## Eigenvalue problem

## How to build different interpolating operators

- $O_{i}(x)=\bar{\Psi}_{i}(x) \gamma_{5} \Psi_{i}(x)$ built in terms of $\Psi_{i}(x)=G_{i}(x, y) \psi(y)$
- $G$ is a convolution operator (smearing)

Consider a $n \times n$ matrix of correlation functions

$$
C_{i j}(\tau) \equiv \sum_{\vec{x}}\left\langle O_{i}^{\dagger}(\vec{x}, \tau) O_{j}(\overrightarrow{0}, 0)\right\rangle=\sum_{P} \underbrace{\langle 0| O_{i}^{\dagger}|P\rangle}_{Z_{i}^{*}(P)} \underbrace{\langle P| O_{j}|0\rangle}_{Z_{j}(P)} \frac{e^{-M_{P} \tau}}{2 M_{P}}
$$

## Diagonalization of correlation matrix

It can be shown that eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}(t)$ of matrix $C(t)$ behaves like:

$$
\lambda_{0}(\tau)=Z_{D}^{*} \exp \left(-M_{D} \tau\right), \lambda_{1}(\tau)=Z_{D^{\prime}}^{*} \exp \left(-M_{D^{\prime}} \tau\right) \ldots
$$

$\vec{v}_{k}(t)$ define "optimal" interpolating operator coupling uniquely to state $k$ :

$$
O_{D}=\vec{v}_{0} \vec{O}, \quad O_{D^{\prime}}=\vec{v}_{1} \vec{O}, \ldots
$$

Determining $f_{D^{\prime}}: R_{D^{\prime}}(\tau)=\left(\vec{v}_{1}^{T} \cdot C(\tau) \cdot \vec{v}_{1} \times e^{M_{D^{\prime} \tau}}\right)^{-1 / 2}$


## Conclusions

## *Preliminary* results

- From the value of $Z_{D^{\prime}}$ and $Z_{D}: \quad \frac{f_{D^{\prime}}}{f_{D}}=0.78(6)$
- Relative mass difference:

$$
\frac{M_{D^{\prime}}-M_{D}}{M_{D}}=59(6) \%
$$

Caveat: everything very preliminary!

- Single lattice spacing
- Not extrapolated to the physical pion mass


## Future development

- Analyze the other 3 lattice spacings and take the chiral extrapolation
- All correlators already computed: expect the results in the next weeks

> Thank you!

