Dark Matter and MOND



Famaey & McGaugh 2012 (Living Reviews in Relativity) arXiv:1112.3960

B. Famaey (Observatoire Astronomique de Strasbourg)

Particle dark matter

Definition: Particle Dark Matter is

- A collisionless and dissipationless fluid of stable elementary particles
- Which interact with each other and with baryons (almost) entirely through gravity
- Immune to hydrodynamical influences (does not have any other peculiar property to interact with baryons)
- Cold or warm to form small enough structures
- Completely unrelated to dark energy

Challenges for the standard picture

- 1) Possible hint towards (at least) incompleteness: coincidences
 - $\Omega_{\rm m}$ and Ω_{Λ} same order of magnitude at z=0... why?
 - Ω_b and Ω_{DM} within 1 order of magnitude too, but baryon asymmetry for Ω_b and thermal freeze-out for dark matter supposedly unrelated --> ??

Suggests a possible link between the three

But only a possible hint, not a very strong argument...

2) « Minor » problems:

 Cusp problem: solved through baryon feedback for most massive ones, but still problematic if remains in faintest galaxies

The Milky Way halo is **NOT** cusped (Bissantz et al. 2003, Famaey & Binney 2005)

- Create large disks with low bulge/disk ratio while keeping consistency with luminosity function and stellar mass fraction
- Missing satellites and « too big to fail »

Many new ultra-faint dwarfs have been found around the MW (Segue1, Hercules...)



Bullock & Boylan-Kolchin

3) Major problem: **dwarf satellites geometry**, i.e. phase-space correlation (rotating disks of satellites)







Baryonic Tully-Fisher relation: $Log M_{h} = 4 log V - log \beta$

Zero-point defines an acceleration constant $a_0 \approx V^4/(GM_b) \approx 10^{-10} \, m/s^2$ Such that $\beta = Ga_{0}$

$$a_0^2 \sim \Lambda$$

 $\phi = (GMa_0)^{1/2} \ln(r)$ at large r from lensing too Isothermal potential up to 300 kpc for isolated gals!



Brimioulle et al. – Milgrom 2013

The same acceleration constant a_0 plays the role of a transition acceleration where the dynamical effects of DM appears:

In the DM framework this is a fully **independent** role of a_0



The same acceleration constant a_0 defines a critical baryonic surface density for disk stability a_0/G

In the DM framework yet another fully **independent** role of a_0



Famaey & McGaugh (2012)

The baryonic surface density (or characteristic acceleration) also determines the shape of rotation curves: huge fine-tuning



Famaey & McGaugh (2012)

Gentile et al. (2010)

MOND

All these independent occurrences of a_0 in galaxy kinematics have been **a priori predicted** by Milgrom (1983) 30 years ago...

Milgrom's law in its simplest form:

$$g = g_N$$
 if $g >> a_0$
 $g = (g_N a_0)^{1/2}$ if $g << a_0$

Transition ideally determined from some deeper theory (can depend on type of orbit)

Note: formally, deep-MOND limit for $a_0 \rightarrow \infty$ and $G \rightarrow 0$

Some laws of galactic dynamics deriving from MOND

- 1) ~1/r acceleration \rightarrow V_{∞} = cst and isothermal « dark halo » to large r
- 2) $V^2/r = (GMa_0)^{1/2}/r$ at large $r \rightarrow$ baryonic Tully-Fisher relation
- 3) $V^2/r = a_0$ as a transition acceleration
- 4) a_0/G as critical surface density for disk stability since $\delta a/a = \delta M/2M$ instead of $\delta M/M$
- 5) Correlation between the value of the average surface density and **steepness** of RC
- 6) Features in the baryonic distribution imply features in the RC

In practice: cf. dielectric



Rotation curves



Famaey & McGaugh (2012); Gentile, Famaey & de Blok 2011

Holmberg II



Bureau & Carignan 2002 derive inclination of $i=84^{\circ}$ in outer parts (i=0° is face-on), Oh et al. 2011 derive $i=50^{\circ}$, but Gentile et al. 2012 (with Oh) decrease it to $i=27^{\circ}+-7^{\circ}$

MOND as a modification of classical gravity



$$\nabla \cdot \left[\mu \left(\left| \nabla \Phi \right| / a_0 \right) \nabla \Phi \right] = 4 \pi G \rho_{\text{bar}}$$
 Bekenstein & Milgrom (1984)

Other formulation: -> $[2\nabla \Phi \cdot \nabla \Phi_N - a_0^2 Q(|\nabla \Phi_N|^2/a_0^2)]$

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = \nabla \left[v \left(\left| \nabla \Phi_N \right| / a_0 \right) \nabla \Phi_N \right]$$

QUMOND: Milgrom (2010)

Differing slightly outside of spherical symmetry

External field effect

In reality, *no* isolated systems: the external field in which an object is plunged influences the **internal** dynamics

For instance, Milky Way in the slowly varying Great Attractor gravitational field (0.01-0.03 a_0) \rightarrow gives right escape speed

$$∇$$
. [(**g**+**g**_e) μ (|**g**+**g**_e|/*a*₀)] = ∇. (**g**_n+**g**_{ne})
In 1D:

$$\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{g} \, \mu \left(\left| \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{e}} \right| / a_0 \right) + \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{e}} \left[\mu \left(\left| \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{e}} \right| / a_0 \right) - \mu \left(\left| \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{e}} \right| / a_0 \right) \right]$$

When $|\mathbf{g}| \rightarrow 0$: $\mathbf{g}_{n} = \mathbf{g} \mu (|\mathbf{g}_{e}|/a_{0})$, r⁻² force, r⁻¹ potential !

Dwarf spheroidals



	/ ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1120.37 - 0.37		$\max/-v$, tot		
	predicted	observed	predicted	observed	predicted	observed	
Fornax	[10.9, 29.9]	$12.9^{+7.5}_{-4.3}$	$\left[8.1, 22.8\right]$	$6.8\substack{+0.5\\-0.7}$	[14.3, 47.9]	12	_
Sculptor	[8.9, 40.5]	40^{+74}_{-26}	[8.9, 33.7]	23^{+2}_{-7}	[8.9, 50.1]	38	
Sextans	[9.5, 50.3]	280^{+93}_{-47}	[9.5, 50.3]	143^{+113}_{-35}	[9.5, 50.3]	108	
Carina	[10.7, 54.5]	293^{+43}_{-37}	[10.7, 48.0]	81^{+10}_{-5}	[10.7, 59.4]	81	
Draco	[8.0, 44.7]	55^{+122}_{-12}	[8.0, 44.7]	137^{+15}_{-21}	[8.0, 44.7]	346	

Local Group Orbits





From: Martinez-Delgado (ZAH)

Tidal Dwarf

Separating baryons from particle DM

Small rotationally supported gas-dense (> 10^{-21} kg/m³)



Tidal dwarf galaxies in NGC 5291

Bournaud et al. (2007) Milgrom (2007) Gentile, Famaey et al. (2007)

CDM MOND Large pressure-supported not very gasdense

CDM



The Bullet Cluster

Clowe et al. (2006) Angus, Shan, Zhao & Famaey (2007)

But speed 3000 km/s?







Large scales!!!



Angus, Famaey & Buote (2008)

Planck

Dipolar Dark Matter?

$$S_{
m DM}\equiv\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,[c^2(J_\mu\dot{\xi}^\mu-
ho)-W(P)],$$

$$egin{aligned} &rac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = \mathbf{g} - \mathbf{f}, \ &rac{d^2 m{\xi}}{dt^2} = \mathbf{f} + rac{1}{
ho}
abla [W(P) - PW'(P)] + (\mathbf{P}
abla) \mathbf{g}, \ &-
abla. (\mathbf{g} - 4\pi \mathbf{P}) = 4\pi G(
ho_b +
ho). \end{aligned}$$

$$W(P) \propto \Lambda/(8\pi) + 2\pi P^2 + 16\pi^2 P^3/(3a_0) + \mathcal{O}(P^4)$$

 $g \propto -W'(P) \longrightarrow \text{MOND } \dots \rightarrow \text{Blanchet & Le Tiec 2009}$

Reproduces CMB & all concordance cosmology to first order !!

Conclusion

Independently from the theoretical framework, the MOND formula is an extremely efficient way of **predicting the gravitational field in galaxies**

Any galaxy formation theory should be able to ultimately reproduce the MOND formula as an **observed** relation for galaxies!

What makes it almost *impossible in the particle DM framework* is that it is history-independent!

What makes it difficult for cosmology is that we presumably need something behaving like particle DM, at least for the CMB...

Vector fields

TeVeS: introduce vector field and

$$g_{\mu\nu} \equiv e^{-2\phi} \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} - 2\mathrm{sinh}(2\phi) U_{\mu} U_{\nu}$$

Or directly use a « vector field k-essence »:

$$S_U \equiv -rac{c^4}{16\pi G l^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[f(X_{
m gea}) - l^2 \lambda(g^{\mu
u} U_\mu U_
u + 1)
ight]$$

$$X_{\text{gea}} = l^2 K^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} U_{\beta,\alpha} U_{\nu,\mu}.$$

Combination of 4 terms that are products of metric and vector

BIMOND

« Equivalent » of acceleration in GR: Christoffel symbol

$$\frac{d^2 x^{\mu}}{d\tau^2} = -\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{dx^{\alpha}}{d\tau} \frac{dx^{\beta}}{d\tau}$$
Not a tensor but the subtraction of two is
=> BIMOND

$$S \equiv S_{\rm m}[{
m matter}, g_{\mu
u}] + S_{\rm m}[{
m twin matter}, \hat{g}_{\mu
u}] + rac{c^4}{16\pi G} \int d^4x [lpha \sqrt{-\hat{g}}\hat{R} + eta \sqrt{-g}R - 2(g\hat{g})^{1/4}l^{-2}f(X)]$$

 $X = l^2 g^{\mu\nu} (C^{\alpha}_{\mu\beta} C^{\beta}_{\nu\alpha} - C^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} C^{\beta}_{\beta\alpha}), \qquad C^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} - \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$