# Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering at Jefferson Lab

May 30, 2017

Frederic Georges

PhD Supervisor: Carlos Muñoz Camacho

Institut de Physique Nucléaire d'Orsay

CNRS-IN2P3 Université Paris-Sud, 91406 Orsay, France



#### Outline

- Introduction physics motivations
- Experimental setup
- High Resolution Spectrometer optics calibration
- Calorimeter  $\pi^0$  calibration

#### Internal structure of the proton



#### Generalized Parton Distributions (GPDs)

#### **DIS Parton Distribution Functions**

**Elastic Form Factors** 

No information on the spatial location of the constituents



No information about the underlying dynamics of the system

- Elastic Scattering ( $ep \rightarrow e'p'$ )  $\rightarrow$  Elastic Form Factors ٠
- Inelastic Scattering (ep  $\rightarrow$  e'X)  $\rightarrow$  Parton Distribution Functions ٠
- DVCS (ep  $\rightarrow$  e'p' $\gamma$ )

- → Generalized Parton Distributions → Spatial-Momentum correlations
- → Spatial distribution
- → Momentum distribution

& Spin structure

# Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS)



DVCS cross section (~occurrence probability) measurement  $\rightarrow$  access GPDs  $\rightarrow$  Description of the proton internal structure

## DVCS at Jefferson Lab, Hall A (2014-2016)

• Jlab: 12 GeV electron accelerator facility + 4 experimental Halls (A, B, C, D)



- Electron beam : e
- Liquid Hydrogen target : p
- Spectrometer : detect e'
- Calorimeter : detect  $\gamma$
- p' not detected



# High Resolution Spectrometer (HRS) optics calibration

## The HRS focal plan





HRS ~ camera

**Focal plan** : "picture" of events happening at the target.

Detected electrons at the focal plan, measured :

- Position  $(x_{fp}, y_{fp})$
- Direction  $(dx_{fp}/dz_{fp}, dy_{fp}/dz_{fp}) = (\theta_{fp}, \phi_{fp})$

At the target, to be reconstructed :

- Event vertex (= position)  $y_{tg}$
- Electron scattering angles  $(\tilde{\theta}_{tg}, \phi_{tg})$
- Electron momentum  $\delta_{tg}$

4 variables in focal plan coordinate system 2

4 variables in target coordinate system <sup>8</sup>

#### The optics matrix

1<sup>st</sup> order approximation :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \delta \\ \theta \\ y \\ \phi \end{bmatrix}_{tg} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \delta | x \rangle & \langle \delta | \theta \rangle & 0 & 0 \\ \langle \theta | x \rangle & \langle \theta | \theta \rangle & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \langle y | y \rangle & \langle y | \phi \rangle \\ 0 & 0 & \langle \phi | y \rangle & \langle \phi | \phi \rangle \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \theta \\ y \\ \phi \end{bmatrix}_{fp}$$

Full polynomial expression, order 5:

$$y_{tg} = \sum_{j,k,l} \sum_{i=1}^{m} C_{i}^{Y_{jkl}} x_{fp}^{i} \theta_{fp}^{j} y_{fp}^{k} \phi_{fp}^{l}$$

 $i+j+k+l \le 5$ 

 $C_i^{Y_{jkl}}$  "Optics matrix coefficients"

- Need calibration if magnets tuning is changed.
- Spring 2016 : magnet issue

#### Step 1 : vertex reconstruction calibration

- Data taken on a 5 thin carbon foils target (1mm thick)
- $\rightarrow$  Expected vertex values  $y_{tg}^0$ , correlated to precise areas of the focal plan
- → Computation of the new optics matrix coefficients  $C_i^{Y_{jkl}}$  by minimizing the aberration function  $\Delta(y)$



10

## Step 2 : angles reconstruction calibration

- Thick metal plate with holes inserted in front of the LHRS entrance (Sieve)
- → Holes = expected values for electron scattering angles  $\theta_{tg}$  and  $\phi_{tg}$ , correlated to precise areas of the focal plan
- → Computation of new optics matrix coefficients by minimization of aberration functions  $\Delta(\theta)$  and  $\Delta(\phi)$



# Step 3 : momentum reconstruction calibration

- Data taken on an LH<sub>2</sub> target, elastic scattering ep  $\rightarrow$  ep setting
  - Constrained system: known scattering angle = known scattering momentum
- "Delta Scan"
  - LHRS angle fixed
  - 5 runs varying HRS central momentum setting (central momentum,  $\pm 2\%, \pm 4\%$ )
    - Elastic momentum-scattering angle correlation → each momentum value correlated to precise and different focal plan areas
- → Expected values for momentum  $\delta_{tg}$ , correlated to precise areas of the focal plan
- → Computation of new optics matrix coefficients by minimization of aberration function  $\Delta(\delta)$ .

#### HRS optics – Preliminary results





- 208 PbF<sub>2</sub> crystals
- Measure photons energy deposit in each crystal

• Radiation damages : PbF<sub>2</sub> crystals become darker

 $\rightarrow$ Loss of gain

 $\rightarrow$ Need to compute new correction coefficients often to compensate

 $\rightarrow \pi^0$  calibration, uses  $\pi^0$  mass reconstruction

- $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$
- $m_{\pi}^2 = 2E_{\gamma 1}E_{\gamma 2}(1 \cos\theta_{\gamma 1\gamma 2})$

• Correction coefficients  $\rightarrow$  optimize mean value +  $\pi^0$  reconstructed mass resolution

• Minimize : 
$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (m_i^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2)^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{N} (m_i^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2)$$



#### Calorimeter $\pi^0$ calibration – Preliminary results



## Summary and Outlook

- Data acquisition ended Fall 2016
- Data analysis in progress
  - Many Calibrations/Corrections studies almost complete
    - HRS Optics
    - Calorimeter  $\pi^0$  calibration
    - Wave form analysis (= how to identify and fit raw signals)
    - ...
  - Then :
    - data decoding/analysis using completed calibrations/corrections
    - DVCS cross sections extraction
    - GPDs (long term)

# Thank You !

Questions ?

#### DVCS in Hall A - Apparatus

 $ep \rightarrow e'p'\gamma$ 



#### DVCS missing mass and exclusivity

DVCS missing mass : ep  $\rightarrow$  e'X $\gamma$ 

Missing mass<sup>2</sup> = 
$$(e + p - e' - \gamma)^2$$

Exclusivity of the DVCS process is ensured by a cut on the missing mass.



## HRS optics calibration – focal plan area issue



Optics calibration run taken at small angle → areas of focal plan were not illuminated
→Poor calibration of the not illuminated area → Poor vertex reconstruction
→Poor vertex reconstruction on target edges for production runs → reconstructed target is too short

- Initial calibration (elastic calibration) :
  - Time consuming (~1 day)
  - Requires experimental setup changes
  - Cannot take DVCS data while calibrating
- $\pi^0$  calibration uses  $\pi^0$  detected while taking DVCS data.
  - Can be done very often and after the actual data taking.
  - No beam time loss.

 $\pi^0$  invariant mass (with no correction)



#### DVCS in Hall A - Goal

#### • Timeline:

- E00-110/E03-106 (2004) : first round of dedicated experiments (Q<sup>2</sup> dependence study)
- E07-007/E08-025 (2010) : second round of dedicated experiments (Q<sup>2</sup> dependence study + beam energy dependence)
- E12-06-114 (2014 2016) : ~50% PAC days completed



- E12-06-114 goals :
  - Scaling test : Wider  $Q^2$  scans at fixed  $x_B$ (larger  $Q^2$  lever arm than in 2010 & several values of  $x_B$ )
  - Separation of Re and Im parts of DVCS cross-section amplitude

100 PAC days (88 + 12 calibration)

#### The DVCS + Bethe-Heitler interactions ep $\rightarrow$ e'p' $\gamma$





$$Q^2 = - (e' - e)^2$$
: virtuality of  $\gamma^*$ 

v = E - E', energies of the electron before and after scattering

$$x_{\rm B} = \frac{Q^2}{2M\nu}$$
 (NB:  $x_{\rm B} \neq x$ )  
 $\xi = \frac{x_{\rm B}}{2-xB}$ 

 $-2\xi$ : longitudinal momentum transfer to the struck quark.

 $t = (p - p')^2$ : squared momentum transfer to the proton

In the limit  $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$  but fixed  $x_B$  (Bjorken limit), the virtual photon  $\gamma^*$  interacts with a single quark in the proton.

#### **DVCS** and Bethe-Heitler



At leading twist:

 $d^{5} \overrightarrow{\sigma} - d^{5} \overleftarrow{\sigma} = \Im (T^{BH} \cdot T^{DVCS})$   $d^{5} \overrightarrow{\sigma} + d^{5} \overleftarrow{\sigma} = |BH|^{2} + \Re e (T^{BH} \cdot T^{DVCS}) + |DVCS|^{2}$   $\downarrow$ Known to 1%