# Recent developments in Bogoliubov Many-Body Perturbation Theory

Pierre Arthuis

### PHENIICS Fest Université Paris-Saclay - May 30th 2017



### Motivation

### **2** On Bogoliubov Many-Body Perturbation Theory

**3** Recent progress



### Motivation

On Bogoliubov Many-Body Perturbation Theory

**3** Recent progress

## Quantum many-body methods





Expansion methods around unperturbed product state



Symmetry breaking helps incorporating non-dynamical correlations:

- Superfluid character: U(1) (particle number)
- Deformations: *SU*(2) (angular momentum)

But nuclei carry good quantum numbers (e.g. number of particles)

 $\Rightarrow$  Symmetries must eventually be restored



# Quantum many-body methods





MBPT: Recently (re)implemented with SRG-evolved H [Tichai et al. 2016] GSCGF, BCC: Recently proposed and implemented [Somà et al. 2011, Signoracci et al. 2014] Sym.-res. BCC & sym.-res. BMBPT: Recently proposed [Duguet 2015, Duguet & Signoracci 2016]

# Quantum many-body methods





MBPT: Recently (re)implemented with SRG-evolved H [Tichai et al. 2016] GSCGF, BCC: Recently proposed and implemented [Somà et al. 2011, Signoracci et al. 2014] Sym.-res. BCC & sym.-res. BMBPT: Recently proposed [Duguet 2015, Duguet & Signoracci 2016]



### Motivation

### **2** On Bogoliubov Many-Body Perturbation Theory

**3** Recent progress



- $\textbf{0} \ \ \text{Use a Bogoliubov vacuum } |\Phi\rangle \ \text{with } \beta_k |\Phi\rangle = 0 \ \text{for all } k$
- 2 Define grand potential operator  $\Omega$  from chiral interaction

$$\Omega \equiv H - \lambda A$$

then normal-order and split:  $\Omega=\Omega_0+\Omega_1$ 

3 Define evolved state in imaginary time

$$|\Psi( au)
angle\equiv \mathcal{U}( au)|\Phi
angle=e^{- au\Omega_0}\mathsf{T}e^{-\int_0^ au d au\Omega_1( au)}|\Phi
angle$$

- **@** Expand and truncate the grand potential kernel  $\Omega(\tau) \equiv \langle \Psi(\tau) | \Omega | \Phi \rangle$ ... ...and the norm kernel  $N(\tau) \equiv \langle \Psi(\tau) | \Phi \rangle$
- **5** Extract ground state energy via

$$\mathrm{E}_{\mathsf{0}} = \lim_{ au o \infty} rac{\Omega( au)}{N( au)} = \lim_{ au o \infty} \omega( au)$$



Inserting the operator  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$  at time 0 and expanding

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}_{0} &= \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{\langle \Psi(\tau) | \Omega | \Phi \rangle}{\langle \Psi(\tau) | \Phi \rangle} \\ &= \langle \Phi | \Big\{ \Omega(\mathbf{0}) - \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau_{1} \mathsf{T} \left[ \Omega_{1} \left( \tau_{1} \right) \Omega(\mathbf{0}) \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2!} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau_{1} d\tau_{2} \mathsf{T} \left[ \Omega_{1} \left( \tau_{1} \right) \Omega_{1} \left( \tau_{2} \right) \Omega(\mathbf{0}) \right] + ... \Big\} | \Phi \rangle_{c} \end{split}$$

Then expressing the grand potential in the qp basis

$$\Omega = \Omega^{00} + \frac{1}{1!} \sum_{k_1 k_2} \Omega^{11}_{k_1 k_2} \beta^{\dagger}_{k_1} \beta_{k_2} + \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{k_1 k_2} \left\{ \Omega^{20}_{k_1 k_2} \beta^{\dagger}_{k_1} \beta^{\dagger}_{k_2} + \Omega^{02}_{k_1 k_2} \beta_{k_2} \beta_{k_1} \right\} + \dots$$

### Expansion of the grand potential kernel



$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}_{0} &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{p}}{p!} \sum_{i_{0}+j_{0}=2,4} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau_{1} \dots d\tau_{p} \\ &\vdots \\ i_{p}+j_{p}=2,4 \\ &\times \sum_{\substack{k_{1}\dots k_{i_{1}} \\ k_{i_{1}}\dots k_{i_{1}} \\ k_{i_{1}}\dots k_{i_{1}} \\ k_{i_{1}+1}\dots k_{i_{1}+j_{1}}}} \frac{\Omega_{k_{1}\dots k_{i_{1}}k_{i_{1}+1}\dots k_{i_{1}+j_{1}}}^{i_{j}j_{p}}}{(i_{1})!(j_{1})!} \dots \frac{\Omega_{l_{1}\dots l_{p}}^{i_{p}j_{p}}}{(i_{p})!(j_{p})!} \frac{\Omega_{m_{1}\dots m_{0}}^{i_{0}j_{0}} \\ \Omega_{m_{1}\dots m_{0}}^{i_{0}j_{0}+1\dots m_{i_{0}+j_{0}}}}{(i_{0})!(j_{0})!} \\ &\times \langle \Phi | \mathsf{T} \left[ \beta_{k_{1}}^{\dagger}(\tau_{1})\dots \beta_{k_{i_{1}}}^{\dagger}(\tau_{1}) \beta_{k_{i_{1}+j_{1}}}(\tau_{1})\dots \beta_{k_{i_{1}+j_{1}}}(\tau_{1})\dots \beta_{k_{i_{1}+j_{1}}}(\tau_{1})\dots \\ &\dots \beta_{l_{1}}^{\dagger}(\tau_{p})\dots \beta_{l_{i_{p}}}^{\dagger}(\tau_{p}) \beta_{l_{i_{p}+j_{p}}}(\tau_{p})\dots \beta_{l_{i_{p+1}}}(\tau_{p}) \\ &\times \beta_{m_{1}}^{\dagger}(0)\dots \beta_{m_{i_{0}}}^{\dagger}(0)\beta_{m_{i_{0}+j_{0}}}(0)\dots \beta_{m_{i_{0}+1}}(0) \right] | \Phi \rangle_{c} \end{split}$$

All contributions computable algebraically and diagramatically

## First- and second-order diagrams



Diagrammatic representation of the grand potential  $\Omega$  $\Omega = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \Omega^{00} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \Omega^{11} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \Omega^{20} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \Omega^{02} \end{array} + \dots$ 

Extracting and applying diagrammatic rules





### Motivation

### On Bogoliubov Many-Body Perturbation Theory

**3** Recent progress

### Third-order diagrams





Derivation of all diagrams up to third order



BMBPT must match standard MBPT in Slater determinant limit

- $\rightarrow$  Matching must be true at each order
- $\rightarrow$  Proof of consistent formalism for BMBPT



BMBPT must match standard MBPT in Slater determinant limit

- $\rightarrow$  Matching must be true at each order
- $\rightarrow$  Proof of consistent formalism for BMBPT

BMBPT(3) diagrams match MBPT(3) ones exactly

Canonical HF-MBPT diagrams were recovered from only one BMBPT





### First BMBPT(2) proof of principle calculation of $^{20}O$ :



using NN SRG-evolved chiral interaction

On MCPT:

- Multi-configurational MBPT
- Alternative method for open-shell nuclei



First BMBPT(2) calculations on O, Ca and Sn isotopic chains



using NN and 3N SRG-evolved chiral interaction

Same chains under investigation at third order at the moment

cea

Use of diagrammatic rules and graph theory

 $\Rightarrow$  Produce graphs and their expressions numerically:

- Produce higher orders diagrams
  - 59 diagrams at order 4
  - 568 diagrams at order 5
- Extend to three-body diagrams
  - 15 diagrams at order 3
  - 337 diagrams at order 4
  - 10 148 diagrams at order 5



- Go up to fourth order
  - $\rightarrow$  Even better than other *ab initio* methods?
  - $\rightarrow$  Test for computational cost
- Push BMBPT to heavier nuclei
  - ightarrow Can go further than other *ab initio* methods
  - $\rightarrow~$  Good test for the computational cost
- Implement particle-number restored BMBPT for the first time
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Required for precise study of open-shell nuclei
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Proof of concept of symmetry-restored BMBPT / BCC
- Ab initio driven EDF method [T. Duguet et al. (2015)]
  - $\rightarrow~\mathsf{Safe}/\mathsf{correlated}/\mathsf{improvable}$  off-diagonal EDF kernels
  - $\rightarrow$  Based on PNR-BMBPT

## Conclusion



- Ab initio expansion methods are a powerful framework
  - Rigorous approach to the many-body problem
  - X Computationally intensive (polynomial scaling)
  - $\pmb{\mathsf{X}}$  Cannot describe the whole nuclear chart
- Many-Body Perturbation Theory and its daughters are one of them
  - Computationally friendly
  - $\checkmark$  Potentially as precise as others when using SRG-evolved H
- BMBPT has been formulated and is being implemented
  - ✓ First derivation and calculations up to third order
  - Appropriate framework to tackle open-shell nuclei
  - Systematic studies at third and fourth order to come
- Symmetry-restored BMBPT is the next step



**BMBPT** Project



P. Arthuis T. Duguet J.-P. Ebran

### On broader aspects



M. Drissi J. Ripoche



technische A. Tichai universität darmstadt R. Roth









# Backup slides!

# On Nuclear Structure Theory



Different methods to treat the whole nuclear chart:









### "Exact" ab initio methods

- Since the 80's
- GFMC, NCSM, FY





Courtesy of V. Soma, T. Duguet

Ab initio approaches for closed-shell nuclei

- Since the 2000's
- DSCGF, CC, IMSRG







Non-perturbative ab initio approaches for open-shell nuclei

- Since the 2010's
- GSCGF, BCC, MR-IMSRG







### Ab initio shell model

- Since 2014
- Effective interaction via CC/IMSRG



- 1 Consider point-like nucleons as appropriate degrees of freedom
- **2** Use interactions rooted in underlying theory (i.e. QCD)
- **③** Expand the many-body Schrödinger equation systematically
- Truncate at a given order and solve using computational methods
- **5** Estimate systematic error

## Nuclear many-body methods





# Status and perspectives of *ab initio* methods



Recent developments: symmetry breaking and restoration  $\rightarrow$  Access to open-shell nuclei

Reach some isotopic chains for medium-mass nuclei

Systematic and predictive methods: estimates of theoretical error



## Reminder on second quantization



Introduce creation  $a^+_\mu$  and annihilation  $a_\mu$  operators acting on  ${\cal F}$  such that

- $a_\mu$  and  $a^+_\mu$  are hermitian conjugate:  $a^+_\mu = (a_\mu)^\dagger$
- $a_{\mu}$  annihilates a particle in state  $|\mu\rangle$ :

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{a}_{\mu} : \mathcal{H}_{N} \to \mathcal{H}_{N-1} \\ |\alpha\beta\ldots\rangle \to \mathbf{a}_{\mu} |\alpha\beta\ldots\rangle \equiv \mathbf{0} \qquad \text{if } \mu \text{ is not initially occupied} \\ |\mu\beta\ldots\rangle \to \mathbf{a}_{\mu} |\mu\beta\ldots\rangle \equiv |\beta\ldots\rangle \text{ if } \mu \text{ is initially occupied} \end{array}$$

• 
$$a^+_\mu$$
 creates a particle in the state  $|\mu\rangle$ :

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{a}_{\mu}^{+} : \ \mathcal{H}_{N} \rightarrow \ \mathcal{H}_{N+1} \\ |\alpha \beta \ldots \rangle \rightarrow \ \mathbf{a}_{\mu}^{+} |\alpha \beta \ldots \rangle \equiv |\mu \alpha \beta \ldots \rangle \text{ if } \mu \text{ is not initially occupied} \\ |\alpha \ldots \mu \ldots \rangle \rightarrow \ \mathbf{a}_{\mu}^{+} |\alpha \ldots \mu \ldots \rangle \equiv \mathbf{0} \qquad \text{ if } \mu \text{ is initially occupied} \end{array}$$

• 
$$a_{\mu}$$
 and  $a_{\mu}^{+}$  obey anticommutation relationships

$$\{a^+_\mu, a^+_\nu\} = 0$$
 ,  $\{a_\mu, a_\nu\} = 0$  ,  $\{a_\mu, a^+_\nu\} = \delta_{\mu\nu}$  .

# Use of the creation and annihilation operators



• Create Slater determinants:

$$|\alpha \beta \ldots \rangle = a_{\alpha}^{+} a_{\beta}^{+} \ldots |0\rangle$$

• Write operators: One-body:

$${\sf F} = \sum_{lphaeta} {\sf f}_{lphaeta} \, {\sf a}_{lpha}^+ \, {\sf a}_{eta}$$

using matrix elements of the operator:

$$f_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \langle i : \alpha | f(i) | i : \beta \rangle$$

And two-body:

$${\cal G}=rac{1}{2}\sum_{lphaeta\gamma\delta}g_{lphaeta\gamma\delta}\,\,a^+_lpha\,a^+_eta\,a_\delta\,a_\gamma\,\,.$$

with matrix elements

$$g_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \equiv \langle i:\alpha; j:\beta|g(i,j)|i:\gamma; j:\delta \rangle$$

### Bogoliubov transformation



Bogoliubov transformation connects qp operators  $\{\beta_k; \beta_k^{\dagger}\}$  to particle ones:

$$\beta_k = \sum_p U_{pk}^* a_p + V_{pk}^* a_p^{\dagger}$$
$$\beta_k^{\dagger} = \sum_p U_{pk} a_p^{\dagger} + V_{pk} a_p$$

They obey anticommutation rules

$$\{\beta_{k_1}, \beta_{k_2}\} = 0 \quad , \quad \{\beta_{k_1}^{\dagger}, \beta_{k_2}^{\dagger}\} = 0 \quad , \quad \{\beta_{k_1}, \beta_{k_2}^{\dagger}\} = \delta_{k_1 k_2}$$

Bogoliubov transformation can be written in matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \beta^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} = W^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ a^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$W \equiv \begin{pmatrix} U & V^* \\ V & U^* \end{pmatrix}$$



Contractions and normal product:

$$\overrightarrow{AB} \equiv AB - :AB:$$
 with  $\overrightarrow{AB} = \frac{\langle \Phi | AB | \Phi \rangle}{\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle}$ 

Elementary contractions:

$$\beta_{\alpha}^{+}\beta_{\beta}^{+} = \frac{\langle \Phi | \beta_{\alpha}^{+}\beta_{\beta}^{+} | \Phi \rangle}{\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle} = 0 \qquad \qquad \beta_{\alpha}^{+}\beta_{\beta} = \frac{\langle \Phi | \beta_{\alpha}^{+}\beta_{\beta} | \Phi \rangle}{\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle} = 0$$
$$\beta_{\alpha}^{-}\beta_{\beta}^{+} = \frac{\langle \Phi | \beta_{\alpha}\beta_{\beta}^{+} | \Phi \rangle}{\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \qquad \qquad \beta_{\alpha}^{-}\beta_{\beta} = \frac{\langle \Phi | \beta_{\alpha}\beta_{\beta} | \Phi \rangle}{\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle} = 0$$



$$ABCD \dots YZ = \overrightarrow{ABCD} \dots \overrightarrow{YZ} - \overrightarrow{ACBD} \dots \overrightarrow{YZ} + \overrightarrow{ADBC} \dots \overrightarrow{YZ} + \dots$$
$$+ \overrightarrow{ABCD} \dots \overrightarrow{YZ} = \overrightarrow{ACBD} \dots \overrightarrow{YZ} + \overrightarrow{ADBC} \dots \overrightarrow{YZ} + \dots$$
$$\vdots$$
$$+ \overrightarrow{AB} : CD \dots YZ : - \overrightarrow{AC} : BD \dots YZ : + \overrightarrow{AD} : BC \dots YZ : + \dots$$
$$+ : ABCD \dots YZ :$$

$$\begin{split} \beta^{+}_{\alpha}\beta^{+}_{\beta}\beta_{\delta}\beta_{\gamma} &= \beta^{+}_{\alpha}\beta^{+}_{\beta}\beta_{\delta}\beta_{\gamma} - \beta^{+}_{\alpha}\beta_{\delta}\beta^{+}_{\beta}\beta_{\gamma} + \beta^{+}_{\alpha}\beta_{\gamma}\beta^{+}_{\beta}\beta_{\delta} \\ &+ \beta^{+}_{\alpha}\beta^{+}_{\beta}:\beta_{\delta}\beta_{\gamma}: - \beta^{+}_{\alpha}\beta_{\delta}:\beta^{+}_{\beta}\beta_{\gamma}: + \beta^{+}_{\alpha}\beta_{\gamma}:\beta^{+}_{\beta}\beta_{\delta}: \\ &+ \beta^{+}_{\beta}\beta_{\delta}:\beta^{+}_{\alpha}\beta_{\gamma}: - \beta^{+}_{\beta}\beta_{\gamma}:\beta^{+}_{\alpha}\beta_{\delta}: + \beta^{+}_{\delta}\beta_{\gamma}:\beta^{+}_{\alpha}\beta^{+}_{\beta}: \\ &+ :\beta^{+}_{\alpha}\beta^{+}_{\beta}\beta_{\delta}\beta_{\gamma}: \end{split}$$



Introduce time-dependent kernel for generic operator O

 $O(\tau) \equiv \langle \Psi(\tau) | O | \Phi \rangle$ 

or other operator of interest

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(\tau) &\equiv \langle \Psi(\tau) | \, \mathbb{1} | \Phi \rangle \\ \mathcal{H}(\tau) &\equiv \langle \Psi(\tau) | \mathcal{H} | \Phi \rangle \\ \mathcal{A}(\tau) &\equiv \langle \Psi(\tau) | \mathcal{A} | \Phi \rangle \\ \Omega(\tau) &\equiv \langle \Psi(\tau) | \Omega | \Phi \rangle \end{split}$$

and reduced kernel

$$\mathcal{O}( au) \equiv rac{O( au)}{N( au)}$$



Kernels can be decomposed as

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(\tau) &= \sum_{\mathsf{A} \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\mu} e^{-\tau \Omega^{\mathsf{A}}_{\mu}} |\langle \Phi | \Psi^{\mathsf{A}}_{\mu} \rangle|^{2} \\ \mathcal{H}(\tau) &= \sum_{\mathsf{A} \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\mu} \mathsf{E}^{\mathsf{A}}_{\mu} e^{-\tau \Omega^{\mathsf{A}}_{\mu}} |\langle \Phi | \Psi^{\mathsf{A}}_{\mu} \rangle|^{2} \\ \mathcal{A}(\tau) &= \sum_{\mathsf{A} \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\mu} A e^{-\tau \Omega^{\mathsf{A}}_{\mu}} |\langle \Phi | \Psi^{\mathsf{A}}_{\mu} \rangle|^{2} \\ \Omega(\tau) &= \sum_{\mathsf{A} \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\mu} \Omega^{\mathsf{A}}_{\mu} e^{-\tau \Omega^{\mathsf{A}}_{\mu}} |\langle \Phi | \Psi^{\mathsf{A}}_{\mu} \rangle|^{2} \end{split}$$



Defining the large  $\tau$  limit of a kernel via

$$O(\infty) \equiv \lim_{\tau \to \infty} O(\tau)$$

gives

$$\begin{split} N(\infty) &= e^{-\tau\Omega_0^{A_0}} |\langle \Phi | \Psi_0^{A_0} \rangle|^2 \\ H(\infty) &= \mathsf{E}_0^{A_0} \; e^{-\tau\Omega_0^{A_0}} |\langle \Phi | \Psi_0^{A_0} \rangle|^2 \\ A(\infty) &= \mathsf{A}_0 \; e^{-\tau\Omega_0^{A_0}} |\langle \Phi | \Psi_0^{A_0} \rangle|^2 \\ \Omega(\infty) &= \Omega_0^{A_0} \; e^{-\tau\Omega_0^{A_0}} |\langle \Phi | \Psi_0^{A_0} \rangle|^2 \end{split}$$



From the previous relations,

$$\begin{split} H(\infty) &= \mathsf{E}_0^{\mathsf{A}_0} \, \mathsf{N}(\infty) \\ A(\infty) &= \mathsf{A}_0 \, \mathsf{N}(\infty) \\ \Omega(\infty) &= \Omega_0^{\mathsf{A}_0} \, \mathsf{N}(\infty) \end{split}$$

Or directly from the reduced kernels

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(\infty) &= \mathsf{E}_0^{\mathsf{A}_0} \\ \mathcal{A}(\infty) &= \mathsf{A}_0 \\ \Omega_r(\infty) &= \Omega_0^{\mathsf{A}_0} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(\tau) &= \langle \Phi | \mathcal{U}(\tau) | \Phi \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi | e^{-\tau \Omega_0} \mathcal{U}_1(t) | \Phi \rangle \\ &= e^{-\tau \Omega_{00}} \langle \Phi | \mathsf{T} e^{-\int_0^\tau dt \Omega_1(t)} | \Phi \rangle \\ &= e^{-\tau \Omega^{00}} \langle \Phi | \Big\{ 1 - \int_0^\tau d\tau_1 \Omega_1(\tau_1) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2!} \int_0^\tau d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \mathsf{T} \left[ \Omega_1(\tau_1) \Omega_1(\tau_2) \right] + ... \Big\} | \Phi \rangle \end{split}$$





Eventually, one gets

### BMBPT propagators







And grand potential vertices:





Then there are some rules to follow:

- Order p 
  ightarrow p vertices  $\Omega^{ij}( au_k)$ , 1  $O^{ij}(0)$  connected via propagators
- A good labelling convention
- Make all possible contractions
- Keep only topologically distinct diagrams
- Sum all time labels from 0 to  $\tau$
- Sign factor  $(-1)^{p+n_c}$
- Symmetry factors: equivalent lines and exchangeable vertices
- Sign factor linked to reading direction



Plus some selection rules:

- No anomalous propagators
  - $\rightarrow$  Same number of creators and operators
- Propagators linking two same vertices  $\rightarrow$  Same direction
- No contraction of a vertex on itself
- Propagators starting from vertex at time 0
   → Moving upward



Let us draw some operator vertices:





Applying all the previous rules:



### Second-order diagrams





cea

Bogoliubov transformation simplifies to

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{a} > \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{0}} : & \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{a}} = \beta_{\mathbf{a}}, \quad \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\dagger} = \beta_{\mathbf{a}}^{\dagger}, \\ i \leq \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{0}} : & \mathbf{c}_{i} = \beta_{i}^{\dagger}, \quad \mathbf{c}_{i}^{\dagger} = \beta_{i}. \end{split}$$

U and V matrix elements are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} > \mathbf{A}_0 : & V_{ak} = \mathbf{0}, \quad U_{ak} = \delta_{ak}, \\ i \le \mathbf{A}_0 : & V_{ik} = \delta_{ik}, \quad U_{ik} = \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

And density matrices are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} > \mathbf{A}_0 : \qquad \rho_{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \kappa_{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{0}, \\ i \leq \mathbf{A}_0 : \qquad \rho_{i\mathbf{p}} = \delta_{i\mathbf{p}}, \quad \kappa_{i\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$



Obviously: The study of graphs and their properties

Graphs are made of:

- Nodes (↔ our vertices)
- Edges connecting the nodes ( $\leftrightarrow$  our propagators)

Applied to various domains and situations:

- Search engines
- Task attribution
- Energy grid
- Quantum mechanics
- And many more...



- $\Rightarrow$  Exhibit symmetry properties
- $\Rightarrow$  Do not distinguish between directed and undirected diagrams



 $\tilde{a}_{ij}$  indicate the number of edges going from node *i* to node *j* 

- $\Rightarrow$  No such symmetry properties
- $\Rightarrow$  Carry more detailed information for directed graphs





Symmetry under the exchange of two vertices

 $\Rightarrow$  Symmetry under simultaneous exchange associated rows and columns

Disconnected diagram

 $\Rightarrow$  Matrix recastable as block-matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \qquad \clubsuit$$



NetworkX: A Python package for graph theory

- Create all kinds of graphs
- Extract adjacency matrices and all kinds of information
- Perform all sorts of operations on the graphs
- Adress some specific problems solved with graphs



Each vertex belongs to  $\Omega^{[2]}$  or  $\Omega^{[4]}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  For each vertex *i*,  $\sum_{i} (a_{ij} + a_{ji})$  is 2 or 4

No self-contraction

 $\Rightarrow$  Every diagonal element is zero

No loop between two vertices

 $\Rightarrow$  Either  $a_{ij}$  or  $a_{ji}$  is zero

Every propagator coming out of the operator vertex goes upward

 $\Rightarrow$  First column of the matrix is zero



• First test all possible values for first element

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \dots$$

• Then take output matrices and do the same for second element

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \dots$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Produces all possible matrices

Test afterwards to exclude "unphysical" matrices

 $\Rightarrow$  Time and computer memory wasted



To avoid generating too many matrices:

- Fill the matrices "vertex-wise"
- Leave first column blank
- Iterate on a<sub>ij</sub> only if a<sub>ji</sub> is zero
- Check the degree of each vertex before moving on

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23} \\ 0 & a_{32} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$



Now, use Python / NetworkX to avoid:

- Matrices appearing twice
- Matrices associated to vanishing graphs (e.g. loop between a set of vertices)
- Matrices associated to topologicaly identical diagrams

 $\Rightarrow$  You're good to go!



Different options:

• Directly from NetworkX using dot



- Using the feynmp LATEX instructions:
  - Use NetworkX functions to get useful graph structure info
  - Have your code write the feynmp instructions in your .tex file





- Extract graph structure info as well
  - $\rightarrow$  Possible to associate labels with vertices, propagators, etc.
  - $\rightarrow$  Can use tests on subgraphs, in- and out-degree, topological sorts...
- Have your code write the corresponding equations in your .tex file



After having the code to run at order 4, obtain...



...and 388 others!

More than 10 000 at order 5  $\,$