





Analogous black-holes in Bose-Einstein condensates
How to create them and how to detect the associated (sonic) Hawking radiation ?


Nicolas Pavloff

LPTMS, Université Paris-Saclay


École de Gif, LAL, october 2017










gravitational black hole




Hawking radiation 75'






quasi-1D condensate
 longitudinal size $\sim 10^2 \mu\text{m}$
 transverse size $\sim 1 \mu\text{m}$



Guerin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. (2006)

tight harmonic radial confinement :





$$V_{\perp}(\vec{r}_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{2} m \omega_{\perp}^2 r_{\perp}^2 .$$

→ **1D model** : $\Psi(x, t)$

domain of validity

$$\frac{\hbar\omega_{\perp}}{\hbar^2/ma^2} \ll n_1 a \sim \frac{\mu}{\hbar\omega_{\perp}} \ll 1 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a = 3D \text{ scattering length} \\ n_1 = 1D \text{ linear density} \end{array} \right.$$


- The first inequality allows to avoid the **Tonks-Girardeau regime** and implies $E_{\text{int}} \ll E_{\text{kin}}$. Also $L_{\phi} \gg \xi$ $L_{\phi} = \xi \exp \left[\pi \sqrt{\frac{\hbar n_1}{2m a \omega_{\perp}}} \right]$
- the second inequality allows to avoid the 3D-like **transverse Thomas-Fermi regime** and implies that transverse motion is “frozen” (more precisely: Born-Oppenheimer approximation)



C. Tozzo & F. Dalfovo, PRA (2002)

$\leftarrow \eta = \frac{\mu}{\hbar\omega_{\perp}} = 9.4$
 only
 axi-symmetric
 excitations
 included ($m = 0$)

A possible dumb hole configuration



credit: Yan Nascimbene

stationary: $\Psi(x, t) = \psi(x) \exp\{-i\mu t\}$


classical mean field:

$$-\frac{1}{2}\psi_{xx} + (U(x) + g|\psi|^2)\psi = \mu\psi$$

where^a $g = 2\omega_{\perp}a$


$$n(x) = |\psi|^2 \quad n(x)v(x) = \text{Im}(\psi^* \cdot \psi_x)$$

^aOlshanii, PRL (1998)



credit: Yan Nascimbene

$$U(x) = \kappa \delta(x) \quad (\kappa > 0)$$



stationary: $\Psi(x, t) = \psi(x) \exp\{-i\mu t\}$


classical mean field:


$$-\frac{1}{2}\psi_{xx} + (U(x) + g|\psi|^2)\psi = \mu\psi$$

where^a $g = 2\omega_{\perp}a$

$$n(x) = |\psi|^2 \quad n(x)v(x) = \text{Im}(\psi^* \cdot \psi_x)$$

^aOlshanii, PRL (1998)





Nozzle of a V2 rocket

$$F = \dot{m}(v_{\text{out}} - v_{\text{in}})$$


For a thick barrier


$U(x)$ of width $\gg \xi \sim (gn)^{-1/2}$:

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{(n^{1/2})_{xx}}{2n^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{2}v^2(x) + gn(x) + U(x) = C^{st} , \\ n(x)v(x) = C^{st} . \end{cases}$$

$$\sim \frac{1}{n} \frac{dn}{dx} [v^2 - c^2] = \frac{dU}{dx} \quad \text{where } c^2(x) = gn(x)$$

$$v(x) \leq c(x) \leftrightarrow \text{sign}\left(\frac{dn}{dx}\right) = \mp \text{sign}\left(\frac{dU}{dx}\right)$$





Nozzle of a V2 rocket

$$F = \dot{m}(v_{\text{out}} - v_{\text{in}})$$


For a thick barrier



$U(x)$ of width $\gg \xi \sim (gn)^{-1/2}$:

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{(n^{1/2})_{xx}}{2n^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{2}v^2(x) + gn(x) + U(x) = C^{st} , \\ n(x)v(x) = C^{st} . \end{cases}$$

$$\sim \frac{1}{n} \frac{dn}{dx} [v^2 - c^2] = \frac{dU}{dx} \quad \text{where } c^2(x) = gn(x)$$


$$v(x) \leq c(x) \leftrightarrow \text{sign}\left(\frac{dn}{dx}\right) = \mp \text{sign}\left(\frac{dU}{dx}\right)$$







The profile in the region of the horizon only depends on v_u/c_u , but not of the initial profile. **No hair theorem**^a ? not quite, see below.

^aF. Michel, R. Parentani, R. Zegers, Phys. Rev. D (2016)



red=numerics

black=Whitham+transonic





Soliton train in the upstream plateau... experimental problem ?

Stationary solutions of the NLS equation

$$-\frac{1}{2}A_{xx} + \left[g n + \frac{J^2}{2n^2} - \mu \right] A = 0, \quad \text{where } J = n(x)v(x) \quad \text{and} \quad A = \sqrt{n}$$

first integral:


$$\frac{1}{2}A_x^2 + W(n) = E_{cl}, \quad \text{where} \quad W(n) = -\frac{g}{2}n^2 + \mu n + \frac{J^2}{2n}.$$




$$n(x, t) = \frac{1}{4}(\lambda_4 - \lambda_3 - \lambda_2 + \lambda_1)^2 + (\lambda_4 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \operatorname{sn}^2(k(x - Vt), m) ,$$

$$k = \sqrt{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)}, \quad V = \frac{1}{4}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4), \quad m = m\{\lambda_i\} \in [0, 1],$$

$$v(x, t) = V - \frac{C\{\lambda_i\}}{n(x, t)}.$$




soliton limit: $\lambda_2 \rightarrow \lambda_3$



cnoidal wave: $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3 < \lambda_4$

KdV 1895 We propose to attach to this type of wave the name of *cnoidal waves* (in analogy with sinusoidal waves). For $k=0$



sinusoidal limit: $\lambda_2 \rightarrow \lambda_1$

slow modulations $\lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda_i(x, t)$ with

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial t} + \mathcal{V}_i(\{\lambda_j\}) \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$\mathcal{V}_1(\{\lambda_j\}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_i - \frac{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)K(m)}{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_1)K(m) - (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)E(m)}$$

$$m = \frac{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_4 - \lambda_3)}{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)}$$

Gurevich-Pitaevskii problem


Gurevich & Pitaevskii (1973)

simple case: decay of a initial discontinuity \rightarrow **dispersive shock wave**

no characteristic length : self-similar solution depending on $\zeta = x/t$ and matching to the right and left boundaries with a non dispersive flow.

$$u_t + uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0$$

$$u(x, t = 0) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x > 0. \end{cases}$$



slow modulations $\lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda_i(x, t)$ with

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial t} + \mathcal{V}_i(\{\lambda_j\}) \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$\mathcal{V}_1(\{\lambda_j\}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_i - \frac{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)K(m)}{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_1)K(m) - (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)E(m)}$$

$$m = \frac{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_4 - \lambda_3)}{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)}$$

Gurevich-Pitaevskii problem

Gurevich & Pitaevskii (1973)


simple case: decay of a initial discontinuity \rightarrow **dispersive shock wave**


no characteristic length : self-similar solution depending on $\zeta = x/t$ and matching to the right and left boundaries with a non dispersive flow.

$$(\mathcal{V}_i - \zeta) \frac{d\lambda_i}{d\zeta} = 0$$

$$u = \lambda_3 = 1$$

$$u(x, t = 0) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x > 0. \end{cases}$$






soliton train:


★ λ_1, λ_4 : fixed

$$\lambda_2\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) = \lambda_3\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)$$

★ $\frac{x}{t} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_i$
yields $\rightarrow \lambda_{2,3}\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)$

★ $n_{\min} = f(\lambda_i \text{'s})$
 $= f\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)$






The arrow indicates the direction of the harmonic potential relative to the stationary step like potential ($v \sim 0.3$ mm/s).

left plot:

$$v(x) = -\frac{1}{n} \int^x n_t dx' ,$$

$$c(x) = \sqrt{g n(x)} .$$


Sonic Black Hole



velocity

green dashed line: black hole horizon

yellow dash-dot: white hole horizon




profile near the horizon \simeq waterfall


$$n_u/n_d = 5.55 \quad 5.55 \quad c_u/c_d = 2.4 \quad 2.36$$

$$V_u/c_u = 0.375 \quad 0.4245 \quad V_d/c_d = 3.25 \quad 5.55$$

theoretical “waterfall”



$$\frac{V_d}{V_u} = \frac{n_u}{n_d} = \left(\frac{c_u}{V_u}\right)^2 = \frac{V_d}{c_d} = \left(\frac{c_u}{c_d}\right)^2$$




profile near the horizon \simeq waterfall

$$n_u/n_d = 5.55 \quad 5.55 \quad c_u/c_d = 2.4 \quad 2.36$$

$$V_u/c_u = 0.375 \quad 0.4245 \quad V_d/c_d = 3.25 \quad 5.55$$

theoretical “waterfall”





$$\frac{V_d}{V_u} = \frac{n_u}{n_d} = \left(\frac{c_u}{V_u} \right)^2 = \frac{V_d}{c_d} = \left(\frac{c_u}{c_d} \right)^2$$

**Beware of
fluctuations !**

cf. case of δ -peak
configuration:

$$U(x) = \kappa \delta(x)$$








stimulated Hawking radiation:

in the laboratory :

$$E(p) = \underbrace{c|p|}_{\text{comoving}} + \underbrace{vp}_{\text{Doppler}}$$





the position of the horizon is energy-dependent




$$E - v(x) \cdot p = \pm c p$$

phase space :



the position of the horizon is energy-dependent





$$E - v(x) \cdot p = \pm E_B(p)$$

with

$$E_B(p) = c p \sqrt{1 + \xi^2 p^2 / 4}$$

phase space :






$U(x)$ and $g(x)$ **step like** with

$U(x) + g(x)n_0 = C^{st}$ such that

$\psi_0(x) = \sqrt{n_0} \exp\{ik_0 x\}$, verifies $\forall x$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\psi_0'' + [U(x) + g(x)|\psi_0|^2] \psi_0 = \mu \psi_0,$$

$$C^{st} = \mu - \frac{k_0^2}{2}.$$



Up to now, only classical description (= wave mechanics).

Realistic “dumb hole” configuration: i.e., formation of a sonic event horizon

“ δ -peak “: dynamical process well described by Whitham approach


“waterfall” configuration: co-validated by experiment

“stimulated Hawking radiation”: quantum reflection + mode conversion

linear relation connecting the out-going modes to the in-going ones

$$\begin{pmatrix} u|out \\ d_1|out \\ (d_2|out)^\dagger \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{S}(\omega) \begin{pmatrix} u|in \\ d_1|in \\ (d_2|in)^\dagger \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\omega - \mathbf{V}q = \pm E_B(q)$$



$$\langle u_{out}^\dagger u_{out} \rangle = |\mathbf{S}_{uu}|^2 \langle u_{in}^\dagger u_{in} \rangle + |\mathbf{S}_{ud_1}|^2 \langle d_{1in}^\dagger d_{1in} \rangle + |\mathbf{S}_{ud_2}|^2 \langle d_{2in}^\dagger d_{2in} \rangle$$

at $T = 0$: $\langle u_{out}^\dagger u_{out} \rangle = |\mathbf{S}_{ud_2}(\omega)|^2$ needs $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \rightleftharpoons d_2 \text{ mode conversion} \\ d_2\text{-ingoing mode} \end{array} \right.$

Spontaneous Hawking radiation

Spectrum of Hawking radiation

$$i \partial_t \hat{\Psi} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 \hat{\Psi} + [U(x) + g \hat{\Psi}^\dagger \hat{\Psi}] \hat{\Psi}$$

Energy current associated to emission of elementary excitations



$$\hat{\Pi} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \hat{\Psi}^\dagger \partial_x \hat{\Psi} + \text{h.c.}$$

at $T = 0$, $\langle \hat{\Pi} \rangle = - \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \hbar \omega |S_{u,d2}|^2$

Hawking radiation in the $u|_{\text{out}}$ channel. Equivalent to a black body radiation of temperature $T_H \sim 10\% \mu$


$$|S_{u,d2}|^2 \stackrel{\omega \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \frac{\Gamma}{\exp\{\hbar\omega/k_B T_H\} - 1}$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{k_B T_H}{g n_u} \sim 0.1 \rightarrow T_H \sim 5 - 10 \text{ nK}$$



long wave length limit : $E - v(x) \cdot p = \pm c(x) \cdot p \rightarrow p = \frac{E}{v(x) \pm c(x)}$

phase space :



Tunnel probability

$$P \propto e^{-2S/\hbar} \quad \text{where} \quad S = \left| \text{Im} \int p(x) dx \right|$$

near the horizon

$$\left| \frac{E}{v(x)-c(x)} \simeq \frac{E}{(x \pm i\epsilon) \frac{d}{dx}(v-c)|_0} \rightarrow \pm i\delta(x) \frac{E}{\frac{d}{dx}(v-c)|_0} \right.$$

$$\left. S \simeq \frac{\pi E}{\frac{d}{dx}(v-c)|_0} \right.$$

Hawking temperature

$$P \propto e^{-E/(k_B T_H)} \quad \text{with} \quad k_B T_H = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \left| \frac{d}{dx}(v-c) \right|_0$$

Example of the waterfall configuration

linear relation connecting the out-going modes to the in-going ones

$$\begin{pmatrix} u|out \\ d_1|out \\ (d_2|out)^\dagger \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{S}(\omega) \begin{pmatrix} u|in \\ d_1|in \\ (d_2|in)^\dagger \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S_{u,d2} = \frac{f_{u,d2}}{\sqrt{\omega/gn_u}} + h_{u,d2} \sqrt{\omega/gn_u} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^{3/2})$$

$$|S_{u,d2}|^2 = \Gamma(\omega) n_{therm}(\omega)$$

$$= (\Gamma + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2)) \left(\frac{k_B T_H}{\omega} + \frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\omega) \right)$$


in the waterfall configuration


$$\frac{k_B T_H}{gn_u} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(1 - M_u^4)^{3/2}}{(2 + M_u^2)(1 + 2M_u^2)}$$

where $M_u = V_u/c_u$


at $T = 0$ outgoing energy current

$$\langle \hat{\Pi} \rangle = - \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \hbar \omega |S_{u,d2}|^2$$





★ example of induced correlation:



$$x = (v_d + c_d)t \quad \text{correlates with}$$


$$x' = (v_u - c_u)t$$

★ affects the density correlation pattern

New theoretical and experimental interest:

study of density correlation on each side of the horizon



$$g^{(2)}(x, x') = \frac{\langle :n(x)n(x'):\rangle}{\langle n(x') \rangle \langle n(x) \rangle} - 1$$



Larré *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A (2012)

$$g^{(2)}(x, x') = \frac{1}{n_0 \xi} F\left(\frac{|x - x'|}{\xi}\right) \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} F(X) = -\frac{1}{\pi X} \int_0^\infty dt \frac{\sin(2tX)}{(1+t^2)^{3/2}} \\ F(0) = -2/\pi. \end{cases}$$


$$\left(\mu = g n_0 = \frac{1}{\xi^2}\right)$$





Popov result ($T = 0$)

$$\frac{1}{n_0} \rho^{(1)}(x, x') = \exp \left\{ -\frac{F(|x - x'|/\xi)}{2\pi n_0 \xi} \right\} \quad \text{where}$$

$$F(X) = \int_0^\infty dt \left(\frac{t^2 + 2}{t\sqrt{t^2 + 4}} - 1 \right) (1 - \cos(Xt))$$



Comparison of numerical and analytic results (model configuration)




main correlation signal :



$x = V_{d2|out} t$ correlates with $x' = V_{u|out} t$



where $V_{d2|out} = V_d - c_d$ and $V_{u|out} = V_u - c_u$




 density profile near the horizon \simeq

 waterfall $n_u/n_d = 5.55$
 $c_u/c_d = 2.4$ 2.36

 $V_u/c_u = 0.375$ 0.4245 $V_d/c_d = 3.25$ 5.55

$$T_H = 1.0 \text{ nK} \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} T_H/(gn_u) = 0.36 ? \\ T_H/(gn_u)|_{theo} \leq 0.25 \end{array} \right.$$







correlations $g_2(x, x')$ along a cut $x + x' = C^{\text{st}}$. For all the plots: the abscissa is the coordinate x_{cut} along the cut in unit of $\xi = \sqrt{\xi_u \xi_d}$ and the ordinate is $\sqrt{n_u n_d \xi_u \xi_d} g_2(x, x')$. Left plot: experimental results of Steinbauer. Right plots: theoretical results in the waterfall configuration with $V_u/c_u = 0.4245$ along different cuts. Figs. (a), (b), (c) and (d): cases when the cut $x + x' = C^{\text{st}}$ intercepts the $u - d2$ correlation lines at $x/\xi_u = 100, 50, 30$ and 15 . The (red) shaded zone is the forbidden zone around $x' = 0$.

One body momentum distribution in the presence of a horizon

$T = 0$, adiabatic opening of the trap

Boiron *et al.* PRL (2015)




Two body momentum distribution in the presence of a horizon

p, q : absolute momenta in units of ξ_u^{-1}

right plot: $g_2(p, q) \rightarrow$

$$\text{where } g_2(p, q) = \frac{\langle : \hat{n}(p) \hat{n}(q) : \rangle}{\langle \hat{n}(p) \rangle \langle \hat{n}(q) \rangle}$$




k : momentum relative to the condensate

$$p = k + P_{(u/d)} \text{ where } P_{(u/d)} = mV_{(u/d)}$$

$T = 0$ adiabatic opening

Boiron et al. PRL (2015)




without horizon: $g_2 \equiv 1$

Two body momentum distribution in the presence of a horizon

p, q : absolute momenta in units of ξ_u^{-1}

right plot: $g_2(p, q) \rightarrow$

$$\text{where } g_2(p, q) = \frac{\langle : \hat{n}(p) \hat{n}(q) : \rangle}{\langle \hat{n}(p) \rangle \langle \hat{n}(q) \rangle}$$




k : momentum relative to the condensate

$$p = k + P_{(u/d)} \text{ where } P_{(u/d)} = mV_{(u/d)}$$

$T = 0$ adiabatic opening

Boiron et al. PRL (2015)




without horizon: $g_2 \equiv 1$

Violation of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality ($T \neq 0$)

$$\text{C.-S. violation : } g_2(p, q) \Big|_{u_{\text{out}} - d_{2\text{out}}} > \sqrt{g_2(p, p) \Big|_{u_{\text{out}}} \times g_2(q, q) \Big|_{d_{2\text{out}}}} \equiv 2$$

Boiron et al. PRL (2015)



T in units of μ

$$T_H = 0.13$$

$$V_u/c_u = 0.5$$

$$V_d/c_d = 4$$

$$V_d/V_u = 4$$

$$n_u/n_d = 4$$


The NLS \leftrightarrow Gross-Pitaevskii eq. is a nonlinear **quantum field** eq. :

$$-\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2\hat{\psi} + g\hat{\psi}^\dagger\hat{\psi}\hat{\psi} = i\partial_t\hat{\psi}, \quad \text{with} \quad [\hat{\psi}(x,t), \hat{\psi}^\dagger(y,t)] = \delta(x-y).$$

BEC : macroscopic occupation of the lowest quantum state: $\hat{\psi}(x,t) = \underline{\psi_{(0)}(x,t)} + \underline{\hat{\phi}(x,t)}$ (Bogoliubov 1947)

$\psi_{(0)}$: solution of the (classical) NLS
$\hat{\phi}$: solution of a linearized (quantum) eq.

makes it possible to consider vacuum fluctuations. In particular : **Hawking radiation** in a stationary, non uniform setting.



Mathey, Vishwanath, Altman, PRA (2009)

Bouchoule, Arzamasovs, Kheruntsyan, Gangardt, PRA (2012)

$$\hat{\phi}(x) = e^{iP_{(u/d)x}} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{L \in \{U, D1\}} \left[u_L(x, \omega) \hat{a}_L(\omega) + v_L^*(x, \omega) \hat{a}_L^\dagger(\omega) \right] \\ + e^{iP_{(u/d)x}} \int_0^\Omega \frac{d\omega}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[u_{D2}(x, \omega) \hat{a}_{D2}^\dagger(\omega) + v_{D2}^*(x, \omega) \hat{a}_{D2}(\omega) \right].$$


- If $x \ll -\xi_u$: $u_U(x) = \mathcal{U}_{u|in} e^{iq_u|in x} + S_{uu} \mathcal{U}_{u|out} e^{iq_u|out x}$,
- If $x \gg \xi_d$: $u_U(x) = S_{d1,u} \mathcal{U}_{d1|out} e^{iq_{d1}|out x} + S_{d2,u} \mathcal{U}_{d2|out} e^{iq_{d2}|out x}$.

adiabatic opening of the trap: $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{U}(\omega) \\ \mathcal{V}(\omega) \end{pmatrix}_{\text{mode}} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for $d2|out$

see also: de Nova, Sols & Zapata PRA (2014)

- adiabaticity **always violated** for long wave-lengths, when $\omega \times t_{\text{char}} \ll 1$

when $\hbar\omega_{\perp} \leq \mu$:



Zaremba, PRA (1998)

Stringari, PRA (1998)

Fedichev & Shlyapnikov, PRA (2001)

Tozzo & Dalfovo, PRA (2002)

modified dispersion relation :

$$\omega_0^2(q) = c_{1d}^2 q^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{48} (qR_{\perp})^2 + \dots \right)$$

new channels :

$$\omega_{n \geq 1}^2(q) = 2n(n+1)\omega_{\perp}^2 + \frac{1}{4}(qR_{\perp}\omega_{\perp})^2 + \dots$$

these new channels will be populated
at $T = 0$

mass term \neq Klein-Gordon

→ new "in" modes

BECs offer interesting prospects to observe analogous Hawking radiation

[Steinhauer, Nature Physics]

general perspective : **quantum effects** with nonlinear **matter** waves

One- and two-body **momentum distributions** accessible by present day experimental techniques provide clear direct evidences

- ⇨ of the occurrence of a sonic horizon.
- ➔ of the associated acoustic Hawking radiation.
- 👉 of the quantum nature of the Hawking process.
 - 😊 The signature of the quantum behavior persists even at temperatures larger than the chemical potential.

$$\langle \dots \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Tr}(\rho \dots)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{n}^2 \rangle &= \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle + \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \mathbf{1} \hat{a} \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle + \langle \hat{n} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \langle \delta n^2 \rangle &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \hat{n}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{n} \rangle^2 \\ &= \underbrace{\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle}_{\text{sign?}} - \langle \hat{n} \rangle^2 + \langle \hat{n} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle \dots \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Tr}(\rho \dots)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{n}^2 \rangle &= \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle + \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \mathbf{1} \hat{a} \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle + \langle \hat{n} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \langle \delta n^2 \rangle &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \hat{n}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{n} \rangle^2 \\ &= \underbrace{\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle}_{\text{sign?}} - \langle \hat{n} \rangle^2 + \langle \hat{n} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Cauchy-Schwarz: $|\langle \hat{\mathbf{A}} \rangle|^2 \leq \langle \hat{\mathbf{A}}^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{A}} \rangle$

Hence $|\langle \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle|^2 \leq \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle$

But $|\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle|^2 \not\leq \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle$

$$\langle \dots \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Tr}(\rho \dots)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{n}^2 \rangle &= \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle + \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \mathbf{1} \hat{a} \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle + \langle \hat{n} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \langle \delta n^2 \rangle &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \hat{n}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{n} \rangle^2 \\ &= \underbrace{\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle - \langle \hat{n} \rangle^2}_{\text{sign?}} + \langle \hat{n} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Cauchy-Schwarz: } |\langle \hat{\mathbf{A}} \rangle|^2 \leq \langle \hat{\mathbf{A}}^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{A}} \rangle$$

$$\text{Hence } |\langle \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle|^2 \leq \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle$$

$$\text{But } |\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle|^2 \not\leq \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle$$

stupid theoretical example

average over a number state: $\rho \equiv |n\rangle\langle n|$

$$\langle \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle^2 = 0$$

$$\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle = n(n-1)$$

$$\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle^2 = n^2 \not\leq \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle$$

a number state is clearly sub-Poissonian !

$$\langle \dots \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Tr}(\rho \dots)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{n}^2 \rangle &= \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle + \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \mathbf{1} \hat{a} \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle + \langle \hat{n} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \langle \delta n^2 \rangle &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \hat{n}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{n} \rangle^2 \\ &= \underbrace{\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle - \langle \hat{n} \rangle^2}_{\text{sign?}} + \langle \hat{n} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Cauchy-Schwarz: $|\langle \hat{\mathbf{A}} \rangle|^2 \leq \langle \hat{\mathbf{A}}^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{A}} \rangle$

Hence $|\langle \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle|^2 \leq \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle$

But $|\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle|^2 \not\leq \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle$

stupid theoretical example

average over a number state: $\rho \equiv |n\rangle\langle n|$


$$\langle \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle^2 = 0$$

$$\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle = n(n-1)$$

$$\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle^2 = n^2 \not\leq \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle$$

a number state is clearly sub-Poissonian !


experimental results



- Poissonian limit : $\langle \delta N^2 \rangle = 0.34 \langle N \rangle$
- Ideal Bose gas
- Yang-Yang
- Quasi-cond.

Jacqmin et al., PRL (2011)

$$\text{C.-S. violation : } g_2(p, q) \Big|_{u_{\text{out}} - d_{2\text{out}}} > \sqrt{g_2(p, p) \Big|_{u_{\text{out}}} \times g_2(q, q) \Big|_{d_{2\text{out}}}} \equiv 2$$



T in units of μ

$$T_H = 0.12$$

$$V_u/c_u = 0.5$$

$$V_d/c_d = 1.83$$

$$V_d/V_u = 2.34$$

$$n_u/n_d = 2.37$$

Schützhold and Unruh, Phys. Rev. D (2002)


Rousseaux *et al.*, New Journal of Physics (2008)






Weinfurter *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. (2011)

Euvé *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D (2016)

in a basin of depth h , the dispersion relation of gravity waves is $(\omega - Vk)^2 = g k \tanh(kh)$, corresponding to $c = \sqrt{gh}$

Experimental test of mode conversion :








$$\omega - Vk = \pm \sqrt{gk \tanh(hk)}$$





Poitiers experiment

supersonic : $V > c$ subsonic : $V < c$ 

$$\omega - Vk = \pm \sqrt{gk \tanh(hk)}$$



Poitiers experiment

supersonic : $V > c$ subsonic : $V < c$ 