





Classification with Quantum Annealing on the D-Wave System Seminar at the Laboratoire de l'Accelerateur Lineaire - LAL Jan 26, 2018

Jean-Roch Vlimant jvlimant@caltech.edu California Institute of Technology



Outline



> Overview

- Quantum Annealing
- QA Machine Learning
- A Higgs dataset
- Experiments >Outlooks











Overview











MENU 🗸

nature

Letter

Solving a Higgs optimization problem with quantum annealing for machine learning

Alex Mott, Joshua Job, Jean-Roch Vlimant, Daniel Lidar & Maria Spiropulu 🔤

Nature 550, 375-379 (19 October 2017)

doi:10.1038/nature24047

Download Citation

Computational science

Experimental particle physics Qubits

Theoretical particle physics

Received: 04 April 2017 Accepted: 28 July 2017 Published online: 18 October 2017

https://www.nature.com/articles/nature24047









Experiment







USCUniversity of

Southern California The Quantum Computing Company[™]





The D-Wave **Computing System**









The Ouantum Computing Company

The D-Wave Company

COMPANY 🔻

TECHNOLOGY 🕶

COMPUTING 🔻

RESOURCES 🔻

NEWS -

Welcome to the Future

Quantum Computing for the Real World Today

https://www.dwavesys.com/

1999 Founded
2011 D-Wave One : 128 qubits
2013 D-Wave Two : 512 qubits
2015 D-Wave 2X : 1000 qubits
2017 D-Wave 2000Q : 2000 qubits
2019? 5000 qubits ?

USC University of

Southern California The Quantum Computing Company^m





D-Wave 2X[™]













qubit and qubit





Quantum Circuits Series of quantum gates operating on a set of quantum states.

USC University of

Southern California The Quantum Computing Company[™]



Quantum Annealing Evolution of a quantum system to a low T Gibbs state That's D-Wave !







D-Wave Chip









D-Wave's quBit





- Each qubit is a pair of Josephson junction (JJ)
- Able to apply local magnetic fields with programable digital-toanalog flux converters (DAC)
- Operates at 15 mK to remove noise

https://doi.org/10.1109/TASC.2014.2318294









Thermal Noise Isolation















Quantum Annealing







Adiabatic Quantum Annealing



- System setup with trivial hamiltonian H(0) and ground state
- Evolve adiabatically the hamiltonian towards the desired Hamiltonian H_p
- > Adiabatic theorem : with a slow evolution of the system, the state stays in the ground state.







D-Wave Hamiltonian And **Chimera Graph**





















Active qubits in green Coupling to 5-6 qubits Inactive qubits in red Not a fully connected graph











Model Embedding









Full Ising Model





USC University of

- Create chains of spins through the chimera graph
- Split local fields across all qubits in the chain
- Tightly couple $(J_F=6)$
- Non-unique embedding. Heuristic approach.
- Suppressing spin flip within chain as error correction.
- Use majority vote
- Approximately full Ising Model with ~<40 spins

https://arxiv.org/abs/1210.8395

Southern California The Quantum Computing Company[™]

















D-Wave Computing Interface







Working on a D-Wave





USC University of

Southern California The Quantum Computing Company^m

- Web Interface to post the problem settings (Hp).
- Asynchronous processing.
- Solution is made available for download.
- Distributed library for performing embedding
- Retain full intellectual property.
- Equivalent restapi to submit and retrieve solutions
- D-Wave processor as a service







User provides (h_{i}, J_{ii})

> Multiple 5 µs annealing cycles

D-Wave provides sampling from lowest energy levels (appox. Gibbs) $(\{ \sigma_{i} \}_{k}, N(\{ \sigma_{i} \}_{k}))$











Classification with **Quantum Annealing**









QA Machine Learning



Formalism to transform a binary classification into an Ising model hamiltonian optimization

K.L. Pudenz, D.A. Lidar https://arxiv.org/abs/1109.0325









QAML Weak/Strong Classifier



Define functions **h**_i of the input variables into [-1,1] such that

- > P(signal|h>0) > P(bkg|h>0)
- > P(bkg|h<0) > P(signal|h<0)</p>

i.e. Most signal on h>0, most bkg on h<0

USC University of

Define w_i as binary linear combination of h_i



https://arxiv.org/abs/1109.0325

Southern California The Quantum Computing Company[™]





QAML Target/Objective





→ C_{ij} and C_{iy} are summations over the values of h_i over the training set → λ is a parameter penalizing the number of non-zero w_i

https://arxiv.org/abs/1109.0325

USC University of Southern California









USC University of

Southern California The Quantum Computing Company[™]







QAML End-to-End









QAML Discriminant



Signal and Background samples Less than 40 features

Run on D-Wave

Mask (w_i) of features contributing to $\sum_{i=1}^N w_i h_i(x)$ continuous discriminant function. One mask per energy level.



JSC University of

Southern California The Quantum Computing Company[™]







A Higgsbackground dataset







Generated Samples







Generated with SHERPA at 8TeV proton-proton c.o.m energy

- → Photon pT of 32 GeV and 25 GeV for realistic trigger selection
- → Di-photon mass [122.5, 127.5] GeV
- → Higgs candidate |η|<2.5</p>









Sample Size and Folding



- 300k signal + 300k background total sample
 - Training set
 - 20 stratified, independent splits of sizes 100, 1000, 5000, 10k, 15k, 20k events
 - Spread of classifier performance over the folds reported as the uncertainty due to the choice of training sample, and initialization.
 - Testing set
 - Remaining 100k+100k independent sample
 - Statistical error on the classifier performance estimated using bootstrapping







Characterizing Variables



description variable $p_T^1/m_{\gamma\gamma}$ transverse momentum of the highest p_T photon divided by the invariant mass of the diphoton pair $p_T^2/m_{\gamma\gamma}$ transverse momentum of the second-highest p_T photon divided by the invariant mass of the diphoton pair $(p_T^1 + p_T^2)/m_{\gamma\gamma}$ sum of the transverse momentum of the two photons divided by their invariant mass $\frac{(p_T^1 - p_T^2)}{m_{\gamma\gamma}} \frac{(p_T^1 - p_T^2)}{m_{\gamma\gamma}}$ difference of the transverse momentum of the two photons divided by their invariant mass transverse momentum of the diphoton system divided by its invariant mass difference in $\eta = -\log \tan \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)$, where θ is the angle with the beam axis $\Delta \eta$ ΔR sum in quadrature of the separation of and ϕ , the azimuthal angle of the two photons $(\sqrt{\Delta \eta^2 + \Delta \phi^2})$ $|\eta^{\gamma\gamma}|$ the η value of the diphoton system

Southern California The Quantum Computing Company^m

USC University of



Weak Classifier Function



Define v_{shift}

- Based on 70th and 30th percentile of the signal distribution (s₇₀, s₃₀)
- If the percentile of background at s₇₀ is less than 70%, then translate to s₇₀ and invert the variable
- Else, check the percentile of background at $s_{30}^{}$, and if more than 30%, then translate to $s_{30}^{}$.
- Else, the two distributions are "too overlapping" and we discard the variable.

USC University of

Southern California The Quantum Computing Company[™]

Define h

 v₊₁ and v₋₁ are the 10th and 90th percentile of v_{shift}





Applied to all variables and their product (inverse if flipped)





Weak Classifiers Naming



1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
p_T^1	p_T^2	ΔR	$p_T^{\gamma\gamma}$	$p_{T}^{1} + p_{T}^{2}$	$p_{T}^{1} - p_{T}^{2}$	$\Delta\eta$	$\eta_{\gamma\gamma}$	$(p_T^1+p_T^2)\eta_{\gamma\gamma}$
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
$rac{p_T^2}{p_T^1-p_T^2}$	$rac{p_T^2}{\Delta\eta}$	$p_T^2 \eta_{\gamma\gamma}$	$rac{1}{\Delta R p_T^{\gamma\gamma}}$	$rac{p_T^1+p_T^2}{\Delta R}$	$\frac{1}{\Delta R(p_T^1 - p_T^2)}$	$\frac{1}{\Delta R \Delta \eta}$	$\frac{\eta_{\gamma\gamma}}{\Delta R}$	$rac{1}{(p_T^1 - p_T^2)\Delta\eta}$
19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
$p_T^1 p_T^2$	$\frac{p_T^1}{\Delta R}$	$rac{p_T^1}{p_T^{\gamma\gamma}}$	$p_T^1(p_T^1+p_T^2)$	$rac{p_T^1}{p_T^1-p_T^2}$	$rac{p_T^1}{\Delta\eta}$	$rac{p_T^1}{\eta_{\gamma\gamma}}$	$\frac{p_T^2}{\Delta R}$	$rac{\eta_{\gamma\gamma}}{p_T^1-p_T^2}$
28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
$rac{p_T^2}{p_T^{\gamma\gamma}}$	$p_T^2(p_T^1+p_T^2)$	$rac{p_T^1+p_T^2}{p_T^{\gamma\gamma}}$	$rac{\eta_{\gamma\gamma}}{p_T^{\gamma\gamma}}$	$rac{1}{p_T^{\gamma\gamma}\Delta\eta}$	$rac{1}{p_T^{\gamma\gamma}(p_T^1-p_T^2)}$	$rac{p_T^1 + p_T^2}{p_T^1 - p_T^2}$	$rac{p_T^1+p_T^2}{\Delta\eta}$	$rac{\eta_{\gamma\gamma}}{\Delta\eta}$

USC University of Southern California







Experiments









Outline



- Train classical classifiers as a baseline measurement of performance.
- Evaluate the exact solution of the problem
 using simulating annealing of the Ising model.
- Scan for λ, penalty on number of weak classifiers.

Southern California The Quantum Computing Company"

SCUniversity of

- Classification performance depending on the size of the training set.
- Scan on the fraction of exited states included in the classifier.







Baseline Classifiers









Classical Baseline



→XGBoost (XGB)

- Extremely efficient library for training decision trees
- http://xgboost.readthedocs.io
- Discovered during the higgs-ml challenge https://www.kaggle.com/c/higgs-boson
- Moderately optimize the hyper-parameters
- → Deep Neural Network (DNN)

JSC University of

- Simple fully connected model 2 layers 1000 nodes
- https://keras.io/ http://deeplearning.net/software/theano/

Southern California The Quantum Computing Company"

Moderately optimize the hyper-parameters









Simulated Annealing







Ising Model Heuristic Solution



- Monte-Carlo based method to find ground state of energy functions
- Random walk across phase space
 - accepting descent
 - → accepting ascent with probability e^{-ΔE/kT}
- Decrease T with time

JSC University of



Southern California The Quantum Computing CompanyTM

Applied to the QUBO problem, and finds the **ground state** reasonably well. SA in the legends.









Variable Importance









Weak Classifier Penalty



$\delta(\vec{w}) \propto \sum_{i,j} C_{ij} w_i w_j + \sum_i (\lambda - 2C_{iy}) w_i$

Penalize for using many weak classifiers









Surviving Weak Classifiers



λ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
0	0	20	20	20	19	20	20	0	5	20	20	20	20	19	20	17	20	20
0.01	0	20	20	20	19	20	20	0	4	20	20	20	20	19	20	17	20	20
0.02	0	20	20	20	19	20	20	0	4	20	20	20	20	19	20	16	20	20
0.05	0	20	20	20	19	20	20	0	1	20	20	20	20	19	20	10	20	17
0.1	0	20	20	20	19	20	20	0	0	20	20	20	20	19	20	6	14	2
0.2	0	20	20	20	19	20	20	0	0	20	14	20	20	12	20	4	1	0
0.4	0	20	0	2	19	20	20	0	0	20	17	20	20	0	20	1	0	0
0.8	0	20	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	18	0	0	20	0	2	0	0	0
λ	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
$\frac{\lambda}{0}$	19 20	20 0	21 0	22 19	23 0	24 20	25 0	26 3	27 0	28 20	29 19	30 7	31 0	32 15	33 0	34 19	35 20	36 20
λ 0 0.01	19 20 20	20 0 0	21 0 0	22 19 19	23 0 0	24 20 20	25 0 0	26 3 2	27 0 0	28 20 20	29 19 19	30 7 6	31 0 0	32 15 15	33 0 0	34 19 19	35 20 20	36 20 20
$\frac{\lambda}{0} \\ 0.01 \\ 0.02$	19 20 20 20	20 0 0	21 0 0 0	22 19 19 19	23 0 0 0	24 20 20 20	25 0 0 0	26 3 2 1	27 0 0 0	28 20 20 20	29 19 19 19	30 7 6 4	31 0 0 0	32 15 15 15	33 0 0 0	34 19 19 19	35 20 20 20	36 20 20 20
$\frac{\lambda}{0} \\ 0.01 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.05$	19 20 20 20 20	20 0 0 0	21 0 0 0 0	22 19 19 19 19	23 0 0 0 0	24 20 20 20 20	25 0 0 0 0	26 3 2 1 0	27 0 0 0 0	28 20 20 20 20	29 19 19 19 19 16	30 7 6 4 1	31 0 0 0 0	32 15 15 15 11	33 0 0 0 0	34 19 19 19 19	35 20 20 20 20	36 20 20 20 20
$\lambda = 0 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.01 \\ 0$	19 20 20 20 20 20	20 0 0 0 0 0	21 0 0 0 0 0	22 19 19 19 19 19	23 0 0 0 0 0	24 20 20 20 20 20	25 0 0 0 0 0	26 3 2 1 0 0	27 0 0 0 0 0	28 20 20 20 20 20 20	29 19 19 19 19 16 1	30 7 6 4 1 0	31 0 0 0 0 0	32 15 15 15 11 5	33 0 0 0 0 0 0	34 19 19 19 19 19 16	35 20 20 20 20 20 20	36 20 20 20 20 20 20
$\begin{array}{c} \lambda \\ 0 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.2 \end{array}$	19 20 20 20 20 20 20 18	20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	21 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	22 19 19 19 19 19 1 0	23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	24 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	25 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	26 3 2 1 0 0 0	27 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	28 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	29 19 19 19 16 1 0	30 7 6 4 1 0 0	31 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	32 15 15 15 11 5 0	33 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	34 19 19 19 19 16 0	35 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	36 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
$\begin{array}{c} \lambda \\ 0 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4 \end{array}$	19 20 20 20 20 20 18 0	20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	21 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	22 19 19 19 19 1 0 0	23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	24 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 7	25 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	26 3 2 1 0 0 0 0	27 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	28 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	29 19 19 19 16 1 0 0	30 7 6 4 1 0 0 0	31 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	32 15 15 15 11 5 0 0	33 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	34 19 19 19 19 16 0	 35 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 	36 20 20 20 20 20 20 3

Table : Number of times the weak classifier of a given variable is used in the ground state solution, as a function of the penalty

Three major variables (2, 13, 28) : p_T^2 , $(\Delta R p_T^{\gamma\gamma})^{-1}$, and $\frac{p_T^2}{p_T^{\gamma\gamma}}$ Relates to the creation of a heavy particle (Higgs) with less transverse energy than typical QCD in the same mass range.







Classification Performance









Sample Size of 100 Events







Sample Size of 20k Events







Evolution With Training Size





49





Hybrid Classifier









In presence of Excited States



- Excited states bring new mask of weak classifier to build discriminators
- Building a discriminator including excited states
 - → Take x% of the levels above the ground state
 - → For each evaluate the average ROC on the training folds
 - → At each signal efficiency, pick the energy state that has the most rejecting discriminator









Comparison DW/SA





52



Chimera Spin Chains





USC University of

Southern California The Quantum Computing Company^m



- Quantum Annealing gets better at finding the ground truth ground state with strong chain strength.
- SA does not include spin chains.
- Feedback to machine developers









Application Outlook









Boltzmann Machine





$$E = - \left(\sum_{i < j} w_{ij} \, s_i \, s_j + \sum_i heta_i \, s_i
ight)$$

https://arxiv.org/abs/1601.02036







Hopfield Network





$$E=-rac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}w_{ij}s_is_j+\sum_i heta_is_i$$

https://tinyurl.com/yayglkf4







Second Order Optimization





$$y = f(\mathbf{x} + \Delta \mathbf{x}) pprox f(\mathbf{x}) +
abla f(\mathbf{x})^{\mathrm{T}} \Delta \mathbf{x} + rac{1}{2!} \Delta \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) \Delta \mathbf{x}$$

Southern California The Quantum Computing Company^m



USC University of





Summary



- First application of D-Wave quantum annealing capability to High Energy Physics use case. Raises interesting questions.
- Scope of the Quantum Annealing on the D-Wave computing device is the solving the Ising model. Limited but powerful.
- Potential applicability of Quantum Annealing to other problems.



JSC University of

Southern California The Quantum Computing CompanyTM





Thanks



This project is supported in part by the United States Department of Energy, Office of High Energy Physics Research Technology Computational HEP and Fermi Research Alliance, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11359. The project is also supported in part under ARO grant number W911NF-12-1-0523 and NSF grant number INSPIRE-1551064. The work is supported in part by the AT&T Foundry Innovation Centers through INQNET, a program for accelerating quantum technologies. We wish to thank the Advanced Scientific Computing Research program of the DOE for the opportunity to first present and discuss this work at the ASCR workshop on Quantum Computing for Science (2015). We acknowledge the funding agencies and all the scientists and staff at CERN and internationally whose hard work resulted in the momentous H(125) discovery in 2012.

With special thanks to Joshua Job.





