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## Outline

To support EDM/MDM measurements in the LHC, the SPS can be used as test-bench to investigate the feasibility to identify secondary particles channeled in a double-crystal setup.

To be studied:

- $\Sigma^{+}$Production

Find optimal condition for $\sum^{+}$tagging: energy, angular distribution, background
These are prerequisites for the choice of the precession crystal deflection and length

- Optics layout

Evaluate post-LS2 space availability in LSS5 (for a detector)
Investigate the necessity for a new absorber for deflected particles

- Detection



## $\Sigma^{+}$Production
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## $\Sigma^{+}$Simulation setup

## Beam:

SPS COAST p beams @ 270 GeV
Target:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{W}-50 \mathrm{~mm} \text { and } 10 \mathrm{~cm} * \\
& \mathrm{Cu}-50 \mathrm{~mm} \text { and } 15 \mathrm{~cm} *
\end{aligned}
$$

*corresponding to 1 interaction length


## $\Sigma^{+}$Production energy

Highest $\Sigma^{+}$flux emerging with target 1 interaction length long.


## $\Sigma^{+}$Decay Length

For $\Sigma^{+}$with energies $<10 \mathrm{GeV}$, the decay length is $<20 \mathrm{~cm}$.

For $\Sigma^{+}$@ 50 GeV , the decay length increase to a more reasonable 1 m


## $\Sigma^{+}$production estimation

The number of $\Sigma^{+}$produced by the interactions of a deflected beam with a target can be evaluated with 2018 SPS COAST proton beam @ 270 GeV

No ADT

- Flux of protons lost from the machine in single channeling setup : $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{e} 7 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{s}$
- Assuming $90 \%$ of single channeling multi-turn efficiency
- A production on target ( 1 interaction length) $1 \mathrm{e}-4 \Sigma^{+}$@~200 GeV per primary

Thus, $\sim 1.3 e 3 \Sigma^{+} / s$ @ 200 GeV will emerge from target and interact with the second crystal
With ADT @ 30\%

- the flux of protons lost from the machine with single channeling configuration is $\sim 6.5 \mathrm{e} 7 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{s}$, i.e. the production rate is increase by a factor of 4 .

NB. The transmission factor of the right energy $\Sigma^{+}$, that emerge from the target with the right angle to be channeled is being studied by means of FLUKA simulations

## SPS LSS5 Layout
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## Overview LSS5 layout
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## Scenarios - Double Channeling w/Tagging



The schematic shows a conceptual layout of a double crystal setup for a particles tagging experiment.
MediPixes can be used, but we might also have space for other detectors.

## Scenarios - Double Channeling w/Tagging



In $\mathrm{LSS}_{5}$, according to post-LS2 drawings, we could have 1 m upstream the OF.51710. and 9 m downstream

The downstream space is just in front of the new SPS Dump (!)

## Second Crystal Angle

In FNAL, a 4.5 cm long crystals with a bending angle of 1.6 mrad was used for $\Sigma^{+}$@ 375 GeV
This means that a crystal with $\sim 10$ mrad bending angle would be necessary to select $\Sigma^{+}$@ 50 GeV

For tagging only purpose, it is not needed such a large deflection angle.
Bending angles of about 1 mrad are well contained inside the aperture, and will be easily absorbed by present UAg installations.

## Layout limitations with large bending angle

For a $2^{\text {nd }}$ crystal bending angle of about

- 10 mrad, the beamlet hits the QF. 51710 in the present configuration.
- 9 to 7 mrad , the beamlet exits the larger pipe (green box) in the free zone, but it does not hit the dump aperture (red box), where it would be absorbed.

A new absorber would be needed to intercept all the beamlets deflected more than 1 mrad, before it hits the QD. 51810 aperture.

Using present configuration for machine optics and $1^{\text {st }}$ crystal


## Possible layout to be investigated

To avoid problems on controlling the deflected beams, a solution is to move the CRY 5 after OD.51810.

This half period is occupied only by UA9 installations and would be relatively free to use.

TO BE VERIFIED


## Conclusions

The highest flux of $\Sigma^{+}$is achieved using a 1 interaction length long target

- Might be an operation issue the handling of such an object

Decay length is very short ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{~m}$ for $\Sigma^{+}$@ 50 GeV )

- Channeling is still possible if the second crystal is very close to the target
- Few $\Sigma^{+}$are produced per primary proton and deflected by the second crystal
- The SPS high flux, and ADT might help to gain statistics

The space available in the present layout is still limited

- A detector(s) has to be investigated for the tagging purpose
- Large bending angle for second crystal will require modification to the present layout
- Deflections of $[1,9]$ mrad would clear the restriction of OF.51710, still a dedicated absorber must be installed in front of the new dump
- Deflections of $[7,9]$ mrad would exit the beam pipe before the new dump


## Future Studies

Finalizing studies on angular distribution of emerging $\Sigma^{+}$Investigate further aperture restriction an layout availability$\square$ Open investigation on possible detectors and tagging strategies


Backup

## $\Sigma^{+}$Production energy

Highest $\Sigma^{+}$flux for energy lower that 10 GeV



## Preliminary

First proposal is to swap crystal 5 (TCPC.51795) with Russian Cherenkov (TQCD.51794)
This will allow to have a new independent target (BSHV.51793) + crystal configuration, and an extra Cherenkov radiator behind the crystal. Also moving the XRP from dispersive area to the available slot downstream CRY3/4 (TECS.51799), could be an interesting change for new measurements.

NB. Piezo-Gonio TCPC. 51795 has a limit for the crystal weight (has for LHC crystals).
At moment a OM LHC-type crystal is installed, but with a larger bending angle ( $\sim 150 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ )


## Overview LSS5 layout with modifications
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