Geometrical correlators in 2d CFTs: An introduction with some open problems

Jacopo Viti IIP, Natal, Brazil & INFN, Florence, Italy

May 12, 2021

Correlated percolation and phase transitions

• Consider the ferromagnetic Ising model

$$H_{\text{lsing}} = -J \sum_{\langle x, y \rangle} s(x) s(y) - H \sum_{x} s(x); \ s(x) = \pm 1$$

Correlated percolation and phase transitions

• Consider the ferromagnetic Ising model

$$H_{\text{lsing}} = -J \sum_{\langle x, y \rangle} s(x) s(y) - H \sum_{x} s(x); \ s(x) = \pm 1$$



• Fisher [1967] droplet model: how to chose *p*_B to reproduce Ising critical exponents via a correlated percolation problem?

Droplets as FK clusters [Coniglio, Klein '80s] • Consider the ferromagnetic *Q*-color Potts model

$$H_{\mathsf{Potts}} = -J \sum_{\langle x, y \rangle} \delta_{s(x), s(y)}; \ s(x) = 1, \dots Q$$

• By rewriting the Boltzmann weight as

$$e^{-H_{\mathsf{Potts}}} = \prod_{\langle x,y
angle} (1-p_B) + p_B \delta_{s(x),s(y)}; \; p_B = 1-e^{-2}$$

Droplets as FK clusters [Coniglio, Klein '80s] • Consider the ferromagnetic *Q*-color Potts model

$$H_{\mathsf{Potts}} = -J \sum_{\langle x, y \rangle} \delta_{s(x), s(y)}; \ s(x) = 1, \dots Q$$

• By rewriting the Boltzmann weight as

$$e^{-H_{\mathsf{Potts}}} = \prod_{\langle x,y
angle} (1-p_B) + p_B \delta_{s(x),s(y)}; \; p_B = 1-e^{-J}$$

 The partition function is expanded into FK graphs [Fortuin, Kasteleyn '70s]

Connectivities of FK clusters

• Critical exponents of Potts are reproduced by the graph expansion



• For instance consider the Q-1 dim. vector $\sigma_{\alpha}(x) = \delta_{s(x),\alpha} - 1/Q$

$$\langle \sigma_{\alpha}(x) \rangle = \frac{Q}{Q-1} P(x \leftrightarrow \partial D)$$

• However, the percolation problem contains much more observables

Connectivities of FK clusters

• Critical exponents of Potts are reproduced by the graph expansion



• For instance consider the Q-1 dim. vector $\sigma_{\alpha}(x) = \delta_{s(x),\alpha} - 1/Q$

$$\langle \sigma_{\alpha}(x) \rangle = \frac{Q}{Q-1} P(x \leftrightarrow \partial D)$$

・ロト 「聞 ト ・ ヨア・

• However, the percolation problem contains much more observables

Connectivities can be defined for any real Q

 $P_{a_1a_2...a_n}(x_1,...,x_n) = \{Probability that x_i belongs to the cluster a_i\}$

 Q: How many independent connectivities are there? Can be calculated at criticality? Equivalent to solve the model for Q ∈ R

Vector space of geometrical correlators [Delfino, V. '11]

• Connectivities are probability to partition a set of points into clusters



• There are sum rules: a basis can be formed by partitions without isolated points. For instance







Vector space of geometrical correlators [Delfino, V. '11]

Paa

Paaa

• Connectivities are probability to partition a set of points into clusters



• There are **sum rules**: a basis can be formed by partitions without isolated points. For instance

Paaaa

P_{aabb} P_{abba} P_{abab}

• They can be expressed formally in terms of S_Q inequivalent spin cfs

$$G_{\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha} = Q_1(Q^2 - 3Q + 3)P_{aaaa} + Q_1^2(P_{aabb} + P_{abba} + P_{abab}),$$

$$G_{\alpha\alpha\beta\beta} = (2Q - 3)P_{aaaa} + Q_1^2P_{aabb} + P_{abba} + P_{abab}$$

$$G_{\alpha\beta\beta\alpha} = (2Q - 3)P_{aaaa} + P_{aabb} + Q_1^2P_{abba} + P_{abab}$$

$$G_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} = (2Q - 3)P_{aaaa} + P_{aabb} + P_{abba} + Q_1^2P_{abab}.$$

The puzzle of the three-point connectivity [Delfino, V.

- '11; Picco, Santachiara, V., Delfino '13]
 - In particular, the three point connectivity is

$$P_{aaa}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{\langle \sigma_{\alpha}(x_1)\sigma_{\alpha}(x_2)\sigma_{\alpha}(x_3) \rangle}{Q(Q-1)(Q-2)}$$

• In any *d*, analytic continuation of the OPE is required at Q = 2. Consider d = 2 from now on and $T = T_c$. We postulate in the scaling limit (up to a non-universal normalization) The puzzle of the three-point connectivity [Delfino, V.

- '11; Picco, Santachiara, V., Delfino '13]
 - In particular, the three point connectivity is

$$P_{aaa}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{\langle \sigma_{\alpha}(x_1)\sigma_{\alpha}(x_2)\sigma_{\alpha}(x_3) \rangle}{Q(Q-1)(Q-2)}$$

 In any *d*, analytic continuation of the OPE is required at *Q* = 2. Consider *d* = 2 from now on and *T* = *T_c*. We postulate in the scaling limit (up to a non-universal normalization)

$$P_{aaa}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \langle \phi_{\Delta_{\sigma}}(x_1) \phi_{\Delta_{\sigma}}(x_2) \phi_{\Delta_{\sigma}}(x_3) \rangle$$

Correct scaling of the two point function requires

$$\Delta_{\sigma} = 2h_{\frac{1}{2},0}, \quad h_{r,s} = \frac{(r(m+1)-sm)^2-1}{4m(m+1)}, \quad c = 1 - \frac{6}{m(m+1)}$$

• For the Q-color Potts model: $Q = 4 \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{m+1}$.

The puzzle of the three-point connectivity From global conformal invariance, one has

$$P_{aaa}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = R(Q)\sqrt{P_{aa}(x_1, x_2)P_{aa}(x_2, x_3)P_{aa}(x_1, x_3)}$$

• By analytically continuing in the discrete indexes (r, s) the Dotsenko Fateev structure constant (for A-series), we argued

$$R(Q) = \sqrt{2}C_{c<1}^{\mathsf{Liouville}}(\Delta_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\sigma})$$

The puzzle of the three-point connectivity From global conformal invariance, one has

 $P_{aaa}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = R(Q)\sqrt{P_{aa}(x_1, x_2)P_{aa}(x_2, x_3)P_{aa}(x_1, x_3)}$

• By analytically continuing in the discrete indexes (*r*, *s*) the Dotsenko Fateev structure constant (for *A*-series), we argued

$$R(Q) = \sqrt{2} C^{ ext{Liouville}}_{c < 1}(\Delta_\sigma, \Delta_\sigma, \Delta_\sigma)$$

Remarks on Liouville theory with c < 1

- $C_{c<1}^{\text{Liouville}}$ defined as **(unique)** solution of the Liouville shift equations continued to c < 1 [Al. Zamolodchikov; Kostov, Petkova; Schomerus 06; Harlow, Maltz, Witten '11]
- Considered **'misterious'** [Al. Zamolodchikov] since $C(\Delta_1, 0, \Delta_3) \neq 0$, even if $\Delta_1 \neq \Delta_3$. In fact this implies that the theory is non-unitary [Harlow, Maltz, Witten '11] but it might exist.
- Proven to produce to **crossing symmetric** 4pt fcs. [Ribault, Santachiara '16], by taking the same spectrum as Liouville with c > 25.

Tests and applications of Liouville 3pt function

 The conjecture has been checked by MC. For instance at Q = 1, [Ziff '11], for three points on an equilateral triangle embedded into a torus.



Tests and applications of Liouville 3pt function

 The conjecture has been checked by MC. For instance at Q = 1, [Ziff '11], for three points on an equilateral triangle embedded into a torus.





• A full interpretation was given in terms of partition functions of critical loop ensembles [Ikhlef, Jacobsen, Saleur '16]

 $C_{c<1}^{\text{Liouville}}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3) \propto Z_{n_1, n_2, n_3}$

• The loop model interpretation solved the 'mistery': $C(\Delta_1, 0, \Delta_3) \neq 0$.

The four-point FK connectivities: this afternoon

• We have seen that there are four lin. independent 4-pt connectivities



10×12>

200

• They have been recently calculated by **conformal bootstrap** [Yifei talk]. In particular from the bootstrap solution and geometry

The four-point FK connectivities: this afternoon

• We have seen that there are four lin. independent 4-pt connectivities



• They have been recently calculated by **conformal bootstrap** [Yifei talk]. In particular from the bootstrap solution and geometry

$$\lim_{x_1 \to x_2} P_{aaaa}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \simeq \frac{(\sqrt{2}C_{c<1}^{\text{Liouville}})^2}{|x_{12}|^{2\Delta_{\sigma}} |x_{34}|^{2\Delta_{\sigma}}} |\eta|^{2\Delta_{\sigma}} + \dots$$

- Thus allowing also to check the Liouville 3pt function with > 20 digits of accuracy [Nivesvivat, Ribault '20]
- In the following, I'll sketch a simpler analytic solution valid for points on the boundary [Gori, V. '18]

200

• To simplify even futher, consider the Ising case. By planarity, when the points are on the boundary there are 3 independent 4pt functions

 X_1

 X_4

 X_2

 X_3



• To simplify even futher, consider the **Ising case**. By **planarity**, when the points are on the boundary there are 3 independent 4pt functions



 Boundary conditions are free. By scaling arguments and the FK mapping, they should be extracted from

 $\langle \phi_{\Delta_{\sigma}}(x_1)\phi_{\Delta_{\sigma}}(x_2)\phi_{\Delta_{\sigma}}(x_3)\phi_{\Delta_{\sigma}}(x_4)\rangle|_{\mathcal{S}}; \quad \Delta_{\sigma}=h_{1,3}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad \operatorname{spin}(\phi_{\Delta_{\sigma}})=\frac{1}{2}$

• The choice of the intermediate channels S is fixed by simmetries and degeneracy of the Virasoro algebra representation $h_{1,3} = 1/2$ at c = 1/2

200

 Indeed, the OPE is fixed by the condition of level three null vector decoupling

 $\phi_{1,3} \cdot \phi_{1,3} = 1 + \phi_{1,3} + \phi_{1,5}$

• In the minimal BCFT, the spin at the boundary is by duality a free Majorana fermion $\Psi = \phi_{2,1}$

$$\Psi\cdot\Psi=1.$$

s	$\uparrow Q = 2 \mathbb{Z}_2$ lsing					
5	<u>5</u> 2	1	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	
4	$\frac{21}{16}$	$\frac{5}{16}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$-\frac{1}{48}$	$\frac{5}{16}$	5
3	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	1	<u>5</u> 2	1
2	$\frac{1}{16}$	$\frac{1}{16}$	<u>35</u> 48	$\frac{33}{16}$	$\frac{65}{116}$	
1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>5</u> 3	$\frac{7}{2}$	6	1
H	1	2	3	4	5	r

→

 Indeed, the OPE is fixed by the condition of level three null vector decoupling

 $\phi_{1,3} \cdot \phi_{1,3} = 1 + \phi_{1,3} + \phi_{1,5}$

• In the minimal BCFT, the spin at the boundary is by duality a free Majorana fermion $\Psi = \phi_{2,1}$

$$\Psi\cdot\Psi=1.$$

• Algebraically, the two Virasoro reps. are distinguished by the decoupling of the level two null vector of $\phi_{1,3}$ at c = 1/2

$$(L_{-1}^2 - \frac{3}{4}L_{-2})|\phi_{1,3}\rangle = \begin{cases} 0 \Rightarrow \text{Single channel OPE} \\ |\chi\rangle \Rightarrow \text{three channels OPE} \end{cases}$$

- The additional OPE channel $\phi_{1,3} \cdot \phi_{1,3} \rightarrow \phi_{1,3}$ is singular.
- Its regularization produces, as well known, logarithmic singularities in the corresponding conformal blocks; see also [Santachiara, V. '14; He, Jacobsen, Saleur '20; Nivesvivat, Ribault 20]
- For the boundary case, the regularization prescription is selected by the **logarithmic solution** of a third order ODE.

- The additional OPE channel $\phi_{1,3} \cdot \phi_{1,3} \rightarrow \phi_{1,3}$ is singular.
- Its regularization produces, as well known, logarithmic singularities in the corresponding conformal blocks; see also [Santachiara, V. '14; He, Jacobsen, Saleur '20; Nivesvivat, Ribault 20]
- For the boundary case, the regularization prescription is selected by the **logarithmic solution** of a **third** order ODE.



• Analytic determination of all three linearly ind. connectivities

$$R(\eta) = \frac{P_{aabb}}{P_{aabb} + P_{abba} + P_{aaaa}}$$

•
$$\eta = \frac{x_{12}x_{34}}{x_{13}x_{24}}$$

• Consider the coupled Potts models (Potts dilute Potts model)

$$H_{PP} = -J \sum_{\langle x,y \rangle} \delta_{s(x),s(y)} - K \sum_{\langle x,y \rangle} \delta_{\tau(x)\tau(y)} \delta_{s(x),s(y)}, \ \tau(x) = 1, \dots, P.$$

• Its FK graph expansion, leads to a correlated percolation problem in the $P \rightarrow 1$ limit



 $\left\{\begin{array}{l} K \to \infty : \text{spin clusters} \\ K \to J : \mathsf{FK clusters} \end{array}\right.$

 It turns out that in d = 2, they both percolate at J = J_c; not true in d = 3.

• By fixing $J = J_c$, we can perform an **RG** analysis in the coupling K [Coniglio, Peruggi 80's] and take the $P \rightarrow 1$ limit



• By fixing $J = J_c$, we can perform an **RG** analysis in the coupling K [Coniglio, Peruggi 80's] and take the $P \rightarrow 1$ limit



• The **RG picture** suggests that spin cluster are obtained by analytic continuation of FK clusters along the **tricritical branch** [Nienhuis et al., 79] of the Potts model.

• This guess produces the correct fractal dimension $2 - \Delta_{\sigma}$ of the clusters [by MC]. Δ_{σ} =dim. spin operator along the critical/tricritical branch.



• This guess produces the correct fractal dimension $2 - \Delta_{\sigma}$ of the clusters [by MC]. Δ_{σ} =dim. spin operator along the critical/tricritical branch.



- Does the same analytic continuation hold for the **three-point connectivity** of Potts spin clusters? No.
- Q: What is then the CFT of critical 2d Potts spin clusters?