How can the perturbative renormalization group help the bootstrap, and what are interesting questions for the bootstrap?



A purposeful reminder on RG basics

$$O(n) \text{ model:} \quad \mathcal{S}[\overrightarrow{\phi}] = \int_{x} \frac{1}{2} [\nabla \overrightarrow{\phi}(x)]^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} \overrightarrow{\phi}(x)^2 + \frac{g}{4} [\overrightarrow{\phi}(x)^2]^2$$

Perturbation theory for the effective scale-dependent parameters $g, m^2, ...$



The RG trajectory in d = 3



- the theory on the trajectory is not conformally invariant.
- the trajectory moves through the forbidden region.

Asymptotic Series: A toy example

$$\mathscr{I}(g) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-x^2/2 - gx^4} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (-g)^n, \qquad a_n = \frac{(4n)!}{2^{2n} (2n)! \, n!} \simeq \frac{2^{4n}}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} \times n!$$

Asymptotic behaviour can be obtained from saddle point

Inverse Borel transform:
$$\mathcal{J}(g) = \int_0^\infty dt \, e^{-t} \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{B}}(tg) \; .$$

Field theory: works the same: saddle point is a function $\phi(x)$



- Padé-resummation ("Padé-Borel"): unreliable due to spurious poles on the axis
- conformal transformation maps ∞ to g_c , s.t. integral is inside range of convergence. Standard method, works well.
 - estimate g_c self-consistently (Kompaniets-Wiese 2019) Phys. Rev. E 101 (2019) 012104, arXiv:1908.07502
 - Kompaniets-Panzer 2017: allow for several free parameters, and look for least Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 036016, arXiv:1705.06483. sensitive point. Currently best method for error bars, computationally expensive.
- Meijer-G resummation: fit $\mathscr{F}_{B}(t)$ with hypergeometric function, yields a Meijer-G function H. Mera, T. G. Pedersen and B.K. Nikolic, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 105027. for inverse Borel-transform. May have spurious poles on the axis.

Conclusion: If well done, resummation quality almost as for "normal" series.

An example from A. Aharony's talk:

$4 - \epsilon - n_c(\epsilon) = \epsilon - 2.58848\epsilon^2 + 5.87431\epsilon^3 - 16.827\epsilon^4 + 56.62196\epsilon^5 + \mathcal{O}[\epsilon^6].$					
1-0.31906578597938484° e	1-4.551834202156295° e	1+2.177564360014011° e			
1+2.269409805252934 e	1-1.9633586109239758° e-10.956417728613014° e ²	1+4.76603995124633° e+6.462466193238685° e ² +5.557769889409281° e ³			
1+0.2760367726022195 e-1.5404084471683843 e ²	1+2.684825951080864° e-2.308970659658309° e ²	0			
1+2.8645123638345384° e	1+5.273301542313183 e+5.466529780426597 e ²	v			
1. +0.7764628211312679 - e-2.8357490590026666 - e ² +2.9396586856390527 - e ³	0	0			

Zoom

1+3.364938412363587° e





(with Ora Entin-Wohlman)

Resummation may be problematic due to analytic structure





d = 2

d = 3

Redundant operators and rearrangement of states at the RG fixed point

the path integral
$$\mathscr{Z} = \prod_{x} d\phi(x) e^{-\mathscr{S}[\phi]}$$

is invariant under $\phi(x) \xrightarrow{x} \phi(x) + \delta\phi(x)$

the additional term in $\,\mathscr{Z}\,$ must vanish

$$\frac{\delta S[\phi]}{\delta \phi(x)} = -\nabla^2 \phi(x) + g \phi^3(x) = 0$$

more general transformations $\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(x) + f(\phi)\delta\phi(x)$

(Jacobian vanishes in dimensional regularisation, and cancels in expectations.)

$$f(\phi)\frac{\delta S[\phi]}{\delta \phi(x)} = f(\phi) \left[-\nabla^2 \phi(x) + g\phi^3(x) \right] = 0$$

Redundant operators (F. Wegner J. Physics C7 (1974) 2098)

 \implies rearrangement of states.

Models with long-ranged elasticity, and spectrum rearrangement



Spectrum Rearrangement upon reaching Ising

Experimental Realisation of LR elasticity



1 *(*



$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{boson}} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2 \vec{z} \left[\nabla \Phi(\vec{z}) \right]^2 .$$

$$\langle \Phi(\vec{z}) \Phi(\vec{z}') \rangle = -\ln |\vec{z} - \vec{z}'|^2$$
Restrict to the circle $|\vec{z}| = 1$

$$\phi(\theta) := \Phi(e^{i\theta}) \implies \mathcal{O}(\theta) := \frac{1}{i} \phi'(\theta)$$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(\theta) \mathcal{O}(\theta') \rangle = \frac{1}{2\sin^2(\frac{\theta - \theta'}{2})} \implies \mathcal{O}(\theta) = \text{ primary with } \Delta_{\mathcal{O}} = 1.$$

Warning: Gaussian free field (or its derivative) on the circle are not conformally invariant.

Curve-detecting operator for the O(n) model



Curve-detecting operator for the O(n) model

$$\mathcal{O}_{ij} = \phi_i \phi_j - \frac{1}{n} \delta_{ij} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i^2$$

Applications

- n = -2 loop erased random walks (LERW)
- n = 0 self-avoiding polymers/walks (SAW)
- n = 1 propagator line in the Ising model
- n=2 propagator line in the XY model

d_{f}	n	SC 6 loops KP17	simulation
LERW	-2	1.6243(10) $1.623(6)$	1.62400(5) [45]
SAW	0	$1.7027(10) \ 1.7025(7)$	1.701847(2) [24]
Ising	1	1.7353(10) $1.7352(6)$	1.7349(65) [46]
XY	2	1.7644(10) $1.7642(3)$	1.7655(20) [46 , 47]



Loop-erased Random Walks (LERW)

random walk

- erase a loop as soon as it is formed
- remains: loop-erased random walk (LERW)
- R_{g} = radius of gyration
- $R_{g} = N^{1/2} = l^{1/z}$ z = fractal dimension of LERW

z = 5/4 (d=2) z = 1.624... (d=3)

Loop-erased random walks from field theory

$$\mathcal{P}(\gamma) = \sum_{\omega:\mathcal{L}(\omega)=\gamma} q(\omega) = \frac{c}{a} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{3} + \dots$$

Multiply with $\mathcal{Z} = 1 - \bigcirc$ = fermion partition function, gives



= fermion propagator (on the graph)

Proven Theorem:

$$\mathcal{P}(\gamma) \times \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{A}(\gamma) := q(\gamma) \sum_{L \in \mathcal{L}_{\gamma}} (-1)^{|L|} \prod_{C \in L} q(C)$$

Elements of Proof:

- bubbles of non-intersecting loops factorise
- enlarge theory from 1 fermion to 2 fermions + 1 boson to detect path passing through

From lattice action to field theory

A. Shapira and K.J. Wiese, SciPost Phys. 9 (2020) 063.

3

$$e^{-S} = \prod_{x} e^{-r_x \phi^*(x)\phi(x)} \left[1 + \sum_{y} \beta_{xy} \phi^*(y)\phi(x) \right], \quad \phi^*(y)\phi(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{\circ} \phi_i^*(y)\phi_i(x)$$

lattice action
 $\phi_1, \phi_2 = \text{fermions}$

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{x} \left[r_x \phi^*(x) \phi(x) - \ln \left(1 + \sum_{y} \beta_{xy} \phi^*(y) \phi(x) \right) \right] \qquad \phi_3 = \mathbf{boson}$$

leading term

$$\sum_{x} \left[r_x \phi^*(x) \phi(x) - \sum_{y} \beta_{xy} \phi^*(y) \phi(x) \right] = \sum_{x} \phi^*(x) [m_x^2 - \nabla_\beta^2] \phi(x)$$

$$m_x^2 = r_x - \sum_y \beta_{yx}, \quad \nabla_\beta^2 \phi(x) = \sum_y \beta_{yx} [\phi(y) - \phi(x)].$$

subleading term

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{x}\left[\sum_{y}\beta_{xy}\phi^{*}(y)\phi(x)\right]^{2} = \frac{g}{2}\sum_{x}\left[\phi^{*}(x)\phi(x)\right]^{2} + \dots, \quad g := \left[\sum_{y}\beta_{xy}\right]^{2}$$

= action of ϕ^4 -theory: 2 fermions and 1 boson, or -1 complex boson OR -2 real bosons

O(-2)

"almost" free theory
$$\Delta_{\sigma} = \frac{d-2}{2}, \Delta_{e} = d-2$$
 (1)
 $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}_{ij}} = 1.37600(5), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{ij} = \phi_{i}\phi_{j} - \frac{1}{n}\delta_{ij}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\phi_{i}^{2}$
 $\Delta_{e'} = 3.82(1)$

one family of fermions is free \rightarrow (1)

does not talk about LERW observable: need 2 complex fermions + 1 complex boson



- [1] K.J. Wiese and A.A. Fedorenko, *Field theories for loop-erased random walks*, Nucl. Phys. B **946** (2019) 114696, arXiv:1802.08830.
- [2] A. Shapira and K.J. Wiese, An exact mapping between loop-erased random walks and an interacting field theory with two fermions and one boson, SciPost Phys. 9 (2020) 063, arXiv:2006.07899.
- [3] T. Helmuth and A. Shapira, *Loop-erased random walk as a spin system observable*, J. Stat. Phys. **181** (2020) 1306–1322, arXiv:2003.10928.
- [4] R.W. Kenyon and D.B. Wilson, *Spanning trees of graphs on surfaces and the intensity of loop-erased random walk on planar graphs*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (2015) 985–1030, arXiv:1107.3377.
- [5] G.F. Lawler, The Laplacian-b random walk and the Schramm-Loewner evolution, Illinois J. Math. 50 (2006) 701–746.
- [6] P.W. Kasteleyn, in F. Harary, editor, Graph Theory and Theoretical Physics, Academic Press, London and New York, 1967.
- [7] S.N. Majumdar and D. Dhar, Equivalence between the Abelian sandpile model and the $q \rightarrow 0$ limit of the Potts-model, Physica A 185 (1992) 129–145.
- [8] O. Narayan and A.A. Middleton, Avalanches and the renormalization-group for pinned charge-density waves, Phys. Rev. B 49 (1994) 244–256.
- [9] A.A. Fedorenko, P. Le Doussal and K.J. Wiese, *Field theory conjecture for loop-erased random walks*, J. Stat. Phys. 133 (2008) 805–812, arXiv:0803.2357.

Field Theory for Charge Density Waves (CDW)

 \bullet semi-conductor devices may have an instability for a periodic modulation of the charge density $\longrightarrow \text{CDW}$

$$\mathscr{H}[u] := \int_{x} \frac{1}{2} [\nabla u(x)]^{2} + \frac{m^{2}}{2} [u(x) - w]^{2} + V(x, (u(x)))$$

• disorder force correlator

disorder

$$\overline{\partial_u V(x,u)\partial_{u'}V(x',u')} = \delta^d(x-x')\Delta(u-u')$$

renormalizes under RG

$$-\frac{md}{dm}\Delta(u) = (\varepsilon - 2\zeta)\Delta(u) + \zeta u\Delta'(u) - \partial_u^2 \left[\frac{1}{2}\Delta(u)^2 - \Delta(u)\Delta(0)\right]$$

CDW: $\zeta = 0$ and periodic fixed point $\Delta(u)$, which is piecewise



Charge Density Waves (CDW) $\rightarrow \phi^4$ -theory at N=-1

Action at depinning

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{CDW}} = \int_{x,t} \tilde{u}(x,t)(\partial_t - \nabla^2 + m^2)u(x,t) - \frac{1}{2}\int_{x,t,t'} \tilde{u}(x,t)\tilde{u}(x,t')\Delta\big(u(x,t) - u(x,t')\big).$$

FRG fixed point function for CDWs at depinning

$$\Delta(u) = \Delta(0) - \frac{g}{2}u(1-u)$$

difference $\phi(x)$ between 2 copies

Keep only leading term ~ $g u^{2/2}$

$$\mathcal{S}_{simp}^{CDW} := \int_{x,t} \tilde{u}(x,t) (\partial_t - \nabla^2 + m^2) u(x,t) - \frac{g}{4} \int_{x,t,t'} \tilde{u}(x,t) \tilde{u}(x,t') \left[u(x,t) - u(x,t') \right]^2$$

0

Redo with Supersymmetry

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S} &= \int_{x} \tilde{\phi}(x) (-\nabla^{2} + m^{2}) \phi(x) + \tilde{u}(x) (-\nabla^{2} + m^{2}) u(x) + \sum_{a=1}^{2} \bar{\psi}_{a}(x) (-\nabla^{2} + m^{2}) \psi_{a}(x) \\ &+ \frac{g}{2} \tilde{u}(x) \phi(x) \Big[\bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) - \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) \Big] - \frac{g}{8} \tilde{u}(x)^{2} \phi(x)^{2} &\longleftarrow \text{decouple} \\ &+ \frac{g}{2} \left[\tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \right]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{1}(x) \psi_{1}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2}(x) \psi_{2}(x) \Big]^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}$$

Conclusions

- O(n) model at n = -2
- = loop-erased random walks
- = CDWs at depinning
- = Abelian sandpiles
- ... more interesting physics hiding there ...