CALVA: Experimental platform for GW detectors

Angélique Lartaux et Pierre Gruning

Equipe Ondes Gravitationnelles



What are Gravitational waves ?

- Solution from General Relativity derived by A. Einstein in 1916, first experiments in the 60s
- Gravitation is a curvature of the space-time metric
- Any massive object will introduce a deformation of the metric
- Gravitational waves are a perturbation of space-time propagating at the speed of light

Some sources:





.



Supernova Assymetric

, core collapse

White dwarf

- 1.4 solar masses
- Density : 1.10⁹ kg/m³

Neutron star

- up to 3 solar masses
- Density : up to 6.10¹⁷ kg/m³

Black hole

- No mass limit
- Biggest known: TON618
 66 billion solar masses

+ other sources: primordial GW, cosmic strings ...





Advanced generation detectors



Current situation



Many Binary Black Holes, a few Binary Neutron Stars and 1 or 2 Neutron star-Black Hole Binary

CALVA : CAvités pour le Lock de Virgo Avancé

you are here



situation en 2014 : http://www.visites-virtuelles.universite-paris-saclay.fr/?s=pano21744&h=23&v=0&f=90

CALVA : CAvités pour le Lock de Virgo Avancé

The initial goal was to build a prototype to test a new technique for locking GW interferometers

- using suspended optical coupled cavities (ie 2 cavities with 3 mirrors)
- similar Power/Mirror mass than real GW interferometer
- use at maximum similar electronics and software from Virgo to ease integration
- different wavelengths to control the cavities

Some history

- Start to work on the project in 2009-2010
- Lot of problems during installation : asbestos, ground, vacuum tanks, ...
- Have the possibility to control mirror positions in angles and length
- Perform control on the small cavity in 2010
- Control of the 50m long cavity was more problematic due to limit of our system, take time to solve them
- So far : 3 thesis used the facility and 16 internships (L3 to M2) + 8 L2 students from Physics department



Calva : Cavity for the Lock of Advanced Virgo Lock on 1064 nm laser



Calva : Cavity for the Lock of Advanced Virgo Lock on 1064 nm laser



Improvements on the current detectors between O3 and O4



Signal recycling



Increase of laser power From 60W to 120W

Frequency dependent squeezed states of light

(a)

(b)

Exsqueez

We were able to find an easier solution for Virgo and then we seeked other possibilities for CALVA

Idea is to use our facility to test squeezing techniques to improve the GW interferometer sensitivity, prepare O5 run (≥2024) with source under vacuum

Need one long cavity and optics under vacuum

Reuse our infrastructure to work on this



ANR ExSqueez



Laboratoire Kastler Brossel

Beat the standard quantum limit using squeezed light







V

Beat the standard quantum limit using squeezed light



Laser quantum description

Boson creation and annihilation operators:

$$\hat{a}^{\dagger} = \sqrt{rac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} \left(x - rac{ip}{m\omega}
ight) \qquad \qquad \hat{a} = \sqrt{rac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} \left(x + rac{ip}{m\omega}
ight)$$

Construct observable operators (amplitude and phase quadrature): $\hat{X}_1 = \hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger}$ $\hat{X}_2 = i(\hat{a}^{\dagger} - \hat{a})$

Also: $[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2] \neq 0$ Thus they are complementary observables and can't be measured both with infinite precision at the same time -> guantum noise

Consider classical electri

c field:
$$\Psi = \Psi_0 e^{i\phi}$$

so written as:
$$\Psi = \Psi_0(cos(\phi)\hat{X}_1 + isin(\phi)\hat{X}_2)$$

For coherent light the best case regarding the Heisenberg uncertainty principle is: $\Delta \hat{X}_1 = \Delta \hat{X}_2 = 1$

Al



Squeezed light



Squeezed light in theory

In Dirac notation coherent states are noted as $|\alpha\rangle$ where α is the coherent amplitude of the state. They are generated from vacuum states $|0\rangle$ using the unitary displacement operator D(α):

$$|lpha
angle=\hat{D}(lpha)\,|0
angle$$
 where $\hat{D}(lpha)=exp(lpha\hat{a}^{\dagger}-lpha^{\star}\hat{a})$ and α is a complex number.

Whereas squeezed state are noted as: $|lpha,\epsilon
angle$ ϵ being the squeezing parameter: $\epsilon=re^{2i heta}$

$$\langle \alpha, \epsilon \rangle = \hat{D}(\alpha) \hat{S}(\epsilon) |0\rangle$$
 where $\hat{S}(\epsilon) = exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\left[\epsilon^{\star} \hat{a}^2 - \epsilon \hat{a}^{\dagger 2}\right]\right)$







Squeezed light at CALVA: global scheme



Squeezed light at CALVA: global scheme



Squeezed light in practice: step 1, producing squeezing

green lightred light1 photon of green light (532 nm) produces 2
correlated photons of infrared light (1064
nm)Electric displacement of the excited electrons due to incoming laser field: $\vec{D} = \epsilon_0 \vec{E} + \vec{P}$
 $\vec{P} = \epsilon_0 \chi_e \vec{E}$ For low power the electric polarization is: $\vec{P} = \epsilon_0 \chi_e \vec{E}$ $V^{(i)}$ being a tensor $P = \epsilon_0 \left[\chi^{(1)} \vec{E} + \chi^{(2)} \vec{E}^2 + \chi^{(3)} \vec{E}^3 + \dots \right]$

Squeezed light in practice: step 1, producing squeezing





For the coherent sidebands produced by the OPO r_{fc} becomes $r_{+}=r_{fc}(\Omega)$ and $r_{-}=r_{fc}(-\Omega)$



Squeezed light in practice: step 3, squeezing detection



Main 1064nm laser

Squeezed field amplified by local oscillator field

By tuning the relative phase Φ between LO and SQZ we can choose the observed quadrature

Squeezed light in practice: optical schemes



Laser preparation bench



Mach-Zehnder for pump power control

Frequency doubler 200 mW at 532nm (pump beam)

Main laser, 2W at 1064nm

- Beam to generate pump
- Local oscillator beam
- Seed beam
- Filter Cavity Verification beam

Auxiliary laser, 500 mW at 1064nm

- Modified Coherent Locking beam
- Beam to generate FCC

Frequency doubler 50mW at 532nm (Filter Cavity Control beam)

-> This bench is nearly 100% completed



 $\sim 10^{-6} - 10^{-7}$ mbar ($\sim 10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$ Pascal)

Faraday Isolator

Homodyne detection (high quantum efficiency photodiodes)



- -> Everything is installed, commissioning ongoing
- Mode-matching of pump and MCL into the OPO => cavity resonances-

In-vacuum bench

- Tuning of homodyne detection

OPO

Losses, a crucial point in squeezing



- There are irreducibles frequency independent losses arising mainly from injection losses and readout losses.
- Filter cavity losses reduce the squeezing performance at low frequencies.
- The length noise of the cavity should be reduced as much as possible.
- The matching of the squeezed beam to the filter cavity is of crucial importance to reduce squeezing losses at low frequencies.

With 10dB injected squeezing we expect ≈3-6dB of FDS performance Thermally Deformable Mirrors (TDM)

To improve the beam matching to a Fabry-Perot cavity we can use an adaptive optics system => test on CALVA of TDM

started with Marie Kasprzack thesis (2014)





Resistor array composed of 61 actuators

Beam radius ~ 2.6 mm

Vacuum compatible

Thermally Deformable Mirrors (TDM)

Need 2 TDMs to completely correct beam aberrations

1 more TDM to generate a test defect







Beam analysis with a wavefront sensor: Phasics

Wavefront analysis of the beam on the TDM by positioning the Phasics in the image plane of the TDM *Phasics in common with the ALEA team of the Accelerator division*

Injection of a HG mode with a rough calibration





2,00E+1 31

nm

-1.03E+2

-8.00E+1

6,00E+1

4,00E+1

2.00E+1

0.00F+0

nm

-1.64E+

-1,40E+

1.20E+

1.00E+

8.00E+

6.00E+

1.00F

100 112

100 112





Mechanics : conception and realization



Electronics : conception and realization



Vacuum : conception and realization



Laserix

and many more !!!!











Conclusions

- Experimental platform dedicated to GW interferometer
- Tests new techniques preparation of present project and future generation
- Collaboration with LIGO-Kagra-Virgo groups
- Creation and exploitation possible thanks to large use of engineering, infrastructure and administration teams
- Host large numbers of internships
- It is possible to visit please contact us !



BACKUP

Calva : Cavity for the Lock of Advanced Virgo



by fitting the n-th zero of the derivative vs time with the expression:

$$n_{zero} = p_1 + p_2 t_{zero} + p_3 t_{zero}^2$$
 With $p_3 = \frac{c.v}{\lambda.L}$

On the 2 resonances we get v = 20.11 $\mu m/s$ and 5.83 $\mu m/s$

Whereas the maximum speed should be: $v_{max} = \frac{\lambda.\pi.c}{4.L.F^2}$ = 18 µm/s

(build-up time of the laser field in the cavity)

Also:
$$v_{Fmax} = \frac{\lambda . F_{max}}{2.F.m} = 7 \,\mu m/s$$
 (Maximum acceptable speed due to maximum applicable force of the actuators)
 $v_{Bmax} = \frac{\pi . \lambda . B}{F} = 6.7 \,\mu m/s$ (Maximum acceptable speed due to respond speed of the feedback loop)



4th input port because no light was entering, in the quantum field description this is wrong!

Quantum noise in GW detectors



Quantum noise in GW detectors



Beat the standard quantum limit using squeezed light



Beat the standard quantum limit using squeezed light



Sub-Poisson distribution

Higher probability to have ≈ same photon number per interval « mirror has more chances to be hit by the same amount of photons per time interval and thus oscillates less hazardous »

Poisson distribution

Photon distribution badly affects both quadratures

Super-Poisson distribution



Higher probability to have a nearly constant photon flux « photodetector has less probability to be hit by packets of photons followed by empty time periods »



Δx

42

A few words about vacuum squeezing

Vacuum squeezing:

The squeezed vacuum field has no coherent amplitude but its mean photon number is $\neq 0$

-> this leads to a power of a few fW in the beam. It can be interpreted as the required power to rearange the noisy vacuum field into a less noisier squeezed vacuum field.









TEM₁₀

TEM₂₀