# Testing Neutrino Properties with Astrophysics and Cosmology

- High Energy Neutrinos: The connection to high energy cosmic and gamma-rays
- > Testing Oscillation Parameters and Source Physics
- Testing Physics beyond the Standard Model: Cross Sections at PeV scales, Lorentz symmetry violation
- Neutrinos in Cosmology

Günter Sigl II. Institut theoretische Physik, Universität Hamburg http://www2.iap.fr/users/sigl/homepage.html

### Supernova Remnants and Galactic Cosmic and $\gamma$ -Rays



Aharonian et al., Nature 432 (2004) 75

Supernova remnants have been seen by HESS in  $\gamma$ -rays: The remnant RXJ1713-3946 has a spectrum ~E<sup>-2.2</sup>: => Charged particles have been accelerated to > 100 TeV. Also seen in 1-3 keV X-rays (contour lines from ASCA) Identifying galactic sources from their secondary gamma-ray signatures



#### Shell-type supernova remnant RCW 86 seen by HESS



#### Summary of neutrino production modes



From Physics Today

#### The Greisen-Zatsepin-Kuzmin (GZK) effect

Nucleons can produce pions on the cosmic microwave background



#### HESS sources: X-ray binary LS 5039

Secondary  $\gamma$ -rays and neutrinos mostly produced by pp interactions in this model



F.Aharonian et al., astro-ph/0508658

Expected neutrino fluxes above TeV ~10-9-10-7 GeV cm-2s-1



#### The "grand unified" neutrino energy flux spectrum



The "grand unified" differential neutrino number spectrum



### Ultra-High Energy Cosmic Rays and the Connection to $\gamma$ -ray and Neutrino Astrophysics

accelerated protons interact:

 $p + \frac{N}{\gamma} \rightarrow X + \frac{\pi^{\pm} \rightarrow \text{neutrinos}}{\pi^{\circ} \rightarrow \gamma - \text{rays}}$ 

during propagation ("cosmogenic") or in sources (AGN, GRB, ...)

=> energy fluences in γ-rays and neutrinos are comparable due to isospin symmetry.

Neutrino spectrum is unmodified,  $\gamma$ -rays pile up below pair production threshold on CMB at a few 10<sup>14</sup> eV.

Universe acts as a calorimeter for total injected electromagnetic energy above the pair threshold. => neutrino flux constraints.



#### Included processes:

- Electrons: inverse Compton; synchrotron rad (for fields from pG to 10 nG)
- Gammas: pair-production through IR, CMB, and radio backgrounds
- Protons: Bethe-Heitler pair production, pion photoproduction



#### Theoretical Limits, Sensitivities, and "Realistic" Fluxes: A Summary



#### Current upper limits on diffuse neutrino fluxes



T. de Young for ICECUBE., arXiv:0910.3644

#### Limits and future Sensitivities to UHE neutrino fluxes



A. Haungs, arXiv:0811.2361

#### JEM-EUSO Sensitivities to UHE neutrino fluxes



16

### Centaurus A as a possible local UHECR source



### Pierre Auger events from Centaurus A?





Galactic Longitude (deg)

### Centaurus A was recently seen by H.E.S.S.



### Centaurus A as Multimessenger Source



 $E^2$  acceleration of protons around the correlation of protons in the jet

Kachelriess, Ostapchenko, Tomas, arXiv:0805.2608

### **Testing Neutrino Properties with Astrophysical Neutrinos**

- > Oscillation parameters, source physics, neutrino decay and decoherence
- Collective effects in supernova neutrino oscillations
- Neutrino-nucleon cross sections
- Quantum Gravity effects

For *n* neutrino flavors, eigenstates  $|v_i\rangle$  of mass  $m_i$  and interaction eigenstates  $|v_{\alpha}\rangle$  are related by a unitary  $n \times n$  matrix U:

$$|\nu_{\alpha}\rangle = \sum_{i} U_{\alpha i} |\nu_{i}\rangle$$

If at t=0 a flavor eigenstate  $|\nu_{\alpha}\rangle = \sum_{i} U_{\alpha i} |\nu_{i}\rangle$  is produced in an interaction, in vacuum the time development will thus be

$$|\boldsymbol{v}(t)\rangle = \sum_{i} U_{\alpha i} e^{-iE_{i}t} |\boldsymbol{v}_{i}\rangle = \sum_{i,\beta} U_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i}^{*} e^{-iE_{i}t} |\boldsymbol{v}_{\beta}\rangle.$$

This implies the following transition probabilities

$$P(\nu_{\alpha} \rightarrow \nu_{\beta}) = \left| \sum_{i} U_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i}^{*} e^{-iE_{i}t} \right|^{2}$$

For flavors  $\alpha$  injected with relative weights  $w_{\alpha}$  at the source, the flux of flavor  $\beta$  at the observer is then (averaged over the oscillations)

$$\phi_{\beta}(E) \propto \sum_{\alpha} w_{\alpha} P(v_{\alpha} \rightarrow v_{\beta}) \simeq \sum_{\alpha,i} w_{\alpha} |U_{\alpha i}|^{2} |U_{\beta i}|^{2}.$$

22

#### Examples for standard mixing parameters:

Sensitivity to source physics: When both pions and muons decay before loosing energy, then  $w_e: w_v: w_\tau \simeq \frac{1}{3}: \frac{2}{3}: 0$  and thus  $\phi_e: \phi_\mu: \phi_\tau \simeq \frac{1}{3}: \frac{1}{3}: \frac{1}{3}$ If pions but not muons decay before loosing energy then  $w_e: w_\mu: w_\tau \simeq 0:1:0$ and thus  $\phi_e: \phi_\mu: \phi_\tau \simeq \frac{1}{5}: \frac{2}{5}: \frac{2}{5}$ 

For unstable mass eigenstates introduce a factor  $\exp\left[-(m_i/\tau_i)(t/E)\right]$ . In normal hierarchy if  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  decay completely, then  $\phi_e: \phi_\mu: \phi_\tau \simeq \frac{3}{4}: \frac{1}{8}: \frac{1}{8}$ In inverted hierarchy if  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  decay completely, then  $\phi_e: \phi_\mu: \phi_\tau \simeq 0: \frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$ 

For quantum decoherence on scales smaller than t one always has  $\phi_e: \phi_\mu: \phi_\tau \simeq \frac{1}{3}: \frac{$ 

### Observed Flavor Ratios can be sensitive to source physics



Kashti and Waxman, Phys.Rev.Lett. 95 (2005) 181101

Injection of pions of energy  $\epsilon_{\pi}$  with spectrum  $\propto \epsilon_{\pi}^{-2}$  with energy losses  $\dot{\epsilon}_{\pi} \propto \epsilon_{\pi}^{2}$ .  $\epsilon_{0,\mu}$  is the energy at which decay equals synchrotron loss.

### Observed Muon to Non-Muon Ratios can be sensitive to oscillation parameters



For a source optically thick to muons but not to pions: Pions decay right away, but muons loose energy by synchro before decaying Serpico, Phys.Rev.D 73 (2006) 047301

### Sensitivity of astrophysical neutrinos to oscillations: The Learned Plot



Oscillation phase is ( $L \Delta m^2 / 4 E_n$ ) Numbers indicate  $\Delta m^2 / eV^2$ .

### Collective Neutrino Oscillations in Supernovae

In a supernova neutrino self-interactions contribute to the refractive index Which leads to interesting collective effects:



Most relevant are the (unknown) atmospheric hierarchy  $\Delta m_{atm}^2$  and  $\Theta_{13}$ 

#### Schematic example for the inverted hierarchy



synchronized bipolar

for the initial flux hierarchy  $F_0(v_e) > F_0(\bar{v}_e) > F_0(v_x) = F_0(\bar{v}_x)$ corresponding to initial energy hierarchy  $\langle \epsilon_0(v_e) \rangle > \langle \epsilon_0(\bar{v}_e) \rangle > \langle \epsilon_0(v_x) \rangle = \langle \epsilon_0(\bar{v}_x) \rangle$ 

#### Note: In inverted hierarchy, bipolar oscillations occur for arbitrarily small $\Theta_{13}$ .

This can be used as experimental test by observing a supernova with mega-ton detectors that are mostly sensitive to electron-antineutrinos



### **Energy Modes and Spectral Splits**



The spectral split is governed by lepton number conservation for both flavors separately:

Anti-neutrinos swap completely; to compensate, neutrinos can only swap partially because

$$\left|F(v_{x}) - F(v_{e})\right| > \left|F(\bar{v}_{x}) - F(\bar{v}_{e})\right|$$

$$\overline{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow n + e^+$$

Following Dasgupta, Dighe, Mirizzi, arXiv:0802.1481 relate fluxes  $F(\bar{v}_e)$  at detector to fluxes  $F_0(\bar{v}_e)$  at neutrino-sphere: No swapping of  $\bar{v}_e$  and  $\bar{v}_{\tau}'$  if either normal hierarchy or inverted hierarchy with  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \ge 10^{-3}$  (such that bipolar transition followed by adiabatic MSW):

$$F(\bar{\nu}_e) \approx \cos^2 \theta_{12} F_0(\bar{\nu}_e) + \sin^2 \theta_{12} F_0(\bar{\nu}_\mu ')$$

Swapping of  $\bar{\nu}_e$  and  $\bar{\nu}_{\tau}'$  if inverted hierarchy with  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \le 10^{-5}$  (such that bipolar transition followed by non-adiabatic MSW) with  $F_0(\bar{\nu}_{\tau}') = F_0(\bar{\nu}_{\mu}')$ :

$$F(\bar{\nu}_{e}) \approx \cos^{2}\theta_{12} F_{0}(\bar{\nu}_{\tau}') + \sin^{2}\theta_{12} F_{0}(\bar{\nu}_{\mu}') = F_{0}(\bar{\nu}_{\tau}')$$

For Earth matter effects  $\cos^2 \theta_{12} \rightarrow P(\bar{\nu}_{\mu}' \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{e})$ 

### Probes of Neutrino Interactions beyond the Standard Model

Note: For primary energies around 10<sup>20</sup> eV:

Center of mass energies for collisions with relic backgrounds

~100 MeV - 100 GeV -> physics well understood

Center of mass energies for collisions with nucleons in the atmosphere ~100 TeV - 1 PeV -> probes physics beyond reach of accelerators

Example: microscopic black hole production in scenarios with a TeV string scale:



However, the neutrino flux from pion-production of extra-galactic trans-GZK cosmic rays allows to put limits on the neutrino-nucleon cross section:



Comparison of this Ny- ("cosmogenic") flux with the non-observation of horizontal air showers results in the present upper limit about  $10^3$  above the Standard Model cross section.

Future experiments will either close the window down to the Standard Model cross section, discover higher cross sections, or find sources beyond the cosmogenic flux. How to disentangle new sources and new cross sections?

#### Solution: Compare rates of different types of neutrino-induced showers



#### Earth-skimming T-neutrinos



Air-shower probability per  $\tau$ -neutrino at 10<sup>20</sup> eV for 10<sup>18</sup> eV (1) and 10<sup>19</sup> eV (2) threshold energy for space-based detection.

Comparison of earth-skimming and horizontal shower rates allows to measure the neutrino-nucleon cross section in the 100 TeV range.

### Probes of Quantum Gravity Effects with Neutrinos

Dispersion relation between energy E, momentum p, and mass m may be modified by non-renormalizable effects at the Planck scale  $M_{Pl}$ ,

$$p^{2} + m^{2} = E^{2} \left[ 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta_{n} \left( \frac{E}{m_{\text{Pl}}} \right)^{n} \right]$$

where most models, e.g. critical string theory, predict n=0 for lowest order. For the i-th neutrino mass eigenstate this gives

$$p_i \approx E + \frac{m_i^2}{2E} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta_n^{(i)} \frac{E^{n+1}}{m_{\text{Pl}}}$$

The « standard » oscillation term becomes comparable to the new terms at energies

$$E \approx m_{\rm Pl} \left( \frac{\Delta m^2}{m_{\rm Pl}^2 \eta_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \approx 0.2, 2 \times 10^4, 1.8 \times 10^7, 1.7 \times 10^9 \, {\rm GeV}$$

for n=1, 2, 3, 4, respectively, and  $\Delta m^2 = 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ , for which ordinary Oscillation length is ~2.5(*E*/MeV) km.

See, e.g., Christian, Phys.Rev.D71 (2005) 024012

Other possible effects: Decoherence of oscillation amplitude with exp(-aL):

Assume galactic neutron sources, L~10 kpc, giving exclusively electron-anti-neutrinos before oscillation. After oscillation the flavor ratio becomes 1:0:0 -> 0.56:0.24:0.20 without decoherence, but 0.33:0.33:0.33 with decoherence.

At E~1 TeV one has a sensitivity of  $a \sim 10^{-37}$  GeV (somewhat dependent on energy dependence of a)

Hooper, Morgan, Winstanley, Phys.Lett.B609 (2005): 206

#### Modification of GZK neutrino flux by Lorentz Invariance Variation



results, otherwise neutrino would decay on short length scale

### **Testing Neutrino Properties with Cosmology**



The fact that freely streaming neutrinos suppress the large scale galaxy power  $\Delta P_m/P_m = -8\Omega_v/\Omega_m$  allows to put a (somewhat model dependent) limit on the sum of neutrino masses Future weak lensing surveys (cosmic shear) are expected to bring sensitivity

down to the 0.05 eV level.

### Some Recent Cosmological Limits on Neutrino Masses

	Σm <sub>v</sub> /eV (limit 95%CL)	Data / Priors
Hannestad 2003 [astro-ph/0303076]	1.01	WMAP-1, CMB, 2dF, HST
Spergel et al. (WMAP) 2003 [astro-ph/0302209]	0.69	WMAP-1, 2dF, HST, $\sigma_8$
Crotty et al. 2004 [hep-ph/0402049]	1.0 0.6	WMAP-1, CMB, 2dF, SDSS & HST, SN
Hannestad 2004 [hep-ph/0409108]	0.65	WMAP-1, SDSS, SN Ia gold sample, Ly-α data from Keck sample
Seljak et al. 2004 [astro-ph/0407372]	0.42	WMAP-1, SDSS, Bias, Ly-α data from SDSS sample
Hannestad et al. 2006 [hep-ph/0409108]	0.30	WMAP-1, CMB-small, SDSS, 2dF, SN Ia, BAO (SDSS), Ly-& (SDSS)
Spergel et al. 2006 [hep-ph/0409108]	0.68	WMAP-3, SDSS, 2dF, SN Ia, $\sigma_8$
Seljak et al. 2006 [astro-ph/0604335]	0.14	WMAP-3, CMB-small, SDSS, 2dF, SN Ia, BAO (SDSS), Ly-& (SDSS)

## **Conclusions1**

- 1.) Pion-production establishes a very important link between the physics of high energy cosmic rays on the one hand, and  $\gamma$ -ray and neutrino astrophysics on the other hand.
- 2.) There are many potential high energy neutrino sources including speculative ones. But the only guaranteed ones are due to pion production of primary cosmic rays known to exist: Galactic neutrinos from hadronic interactions up to ~10<sup>16</sup> eV and "cosmogenic" neutrinos around 10<sup>19</sup> eV from photopion production. Good experimental prospects to detect them in near future
- 3.) Flavor composition of ultra-high energy neutrinos can test the source physics as well as possibly physics beyond the Standard Model
- 4.) Collective supernova neutrino oscillations probe the neutrino mass hierarchy



- 5.) At energies above ~10<sup>18</sup> eV, the center-of mass energies are above a TeV and thus beyond the reach of accelerator experiments. Especially in the neutrino sector, where Standard Model cross sections are small, this probes potentially new physics beyond the electroweak scale, including possible quantum gravity effects.
- 6.) Cosmological relic neutrinos are a very sensitive probe for the neutrino mass